



Thesis for Master Degree

Monthly Variations of Microphytobenthos Pigments and Photo-Physiological Characteristics in the Middle Intertidal Zone of Geunso Bay, West Coast of Korea

Advisor: Prof. Jae Hoon Noh

1945

Department of Convergence Study on the Ocean Science and Technology School of Ocean Science and Technology Korea Maritime and Ocean University

Eun Young Kim

February. 2019

Approved by the Committee of the Ocean Science and Technology School of Korea Maritime and Ocean University in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science



2018. 12. 21

Department of Convergence Study on the Ocean Science and Technology School of Ocean Science and Technology Korea Maritime and Ocean University

Collection @ kmou

- i -

Contents

List of Tables	 iv
List of Figures	 v
Abstract	 vii

1. Introduction

1.1 Avoidance strategies to reduce the light stress of MPB and necessity of research	
	1
1.2 Advantages of pigments analysis using HPLC and preceding studies	1
1.3 Advantages of PAM measurement	2
1.4 Aims of this study	3
2. Materials and Methods	
2.1 Sampling sites	4
2.2 Photosynthetic pigments analysis	6
2.3 Chlorophyll fluorescence measurement 1	0

2.4 Oxygen concentration measurement 12

3. Results

3.1 Temporal variation in pigment concentrations	• 13
3.2 Temporal variation in fluorescence parameters	• 21
3.3 Distribution of oxygen concentration in sediments	• 25



4. Discussion

4.1 Monthly variations of MPB biomass	26
4.2 The community composition of MPB	29
4.3 Monthly Chl a vertical distribution	29
4.4 Daily vertical movement of MPB	32
4.5 Temporal variation of photo-physiological characteristics of MPB	32
5. Conclusions	34
Acknowledgements	35
References	36
1945	
IN TOTAL	
0/ OF CI	



List of Tables

 Table 2 The annual average contents of photosynthetic pigments and the relative ratios of accessory pigments to total chlorophyll a in Geunso Bay tidal flat.

 18

Table 4 Reported values of sediment chlorophyll content. Some part of datafollowed by MacIntyre et al. (1996). The contents in brackets mean the averagevalues of chlorophyll a content.28

1945



List of Figures

- Fig. 1 Location of tidal flat at the Geunso Bay and sampling site (red point). ... 5

- Fig. 4 Total chlorophyll *a* contents measured by using HPLC in Geunso Bay tidal flat. The results obtained by cutting 1 cm of the upper part of 16 cores in the quadrate were averaged.
- Fig. 5 Vertical distribution of monthly chlorophyll a (mg m⁻²). Using Turner 10-AU fluorometer. The sediment core sample has been sliced 2 mm at a time for a total of 14 mm.
- Fig. 6 Monthly variation of marker pigments contents from Oct. 2016 to Oct. 2017 in Geunso Bay tidal flat. It was the result of cutting 1 cm of the upper part of three cores and mixing.
- Fig. 7 The relative ratios of marker pigments to total chlorophyll *a* at sampling site in Geunso Bay. It was the result of cutting 1 cm of the upper part of three cores and mixing. 20
- Fig. 8 Contour graphs showing the change of the steady-state fluorescence in ambient light (F) measured using Diving PAM. The dots mean the measuring point in the quadrate.

- **Fig. 9** Change in effective quantum efficiency ($\Delta F/F_m'$, closed circle) and relative photosynthetic electron transport (rETR, closed square) with photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) during emersion periods.





Monthly Variations of Microphytobenthos Pigments and Photo-Physiological Characteristics in the Middle Intertidal Zone of Geunso Bay, West Coast of Korea

Eun Young Kim

Department of Convergence Study on the Ocean Science and Technology School of Ocean Science and Technology Korea Maritime and Ocean University

Abstract

In this study, the surveys were carried out from October 2016 to October 2017 along the tidal flat of Geunso Bay, Taean Peninsula of the western edge of Korea. The sampling trips were carried out for a total of 16 times, once or twice a month. In order to investigate the monthly variation of the microphytobenthos (MPB) biomass and community composition, microphytobenthic pigments on the surface of the sediment were analyzed by HPLC (High performance liquid chromatography). The total chlorophyll *a* (TChl *a*) concentrations have used as an indicator of MPB biomasses in the upper 1 cm sediment layer ranged from 40.4 to 218.9 mg m⁻² throughout the sampling period. TChl *a* concentrations showed the maximum level on 24^{th} of February and remained high throughout March after which it started to declined. The biomass of MPB showed high values in winter and low values in summer. The monthly variations of pheophorbide *a* concentrations suggested that the low grazing intensity of the predator in the winter may have partly attributed to the MPB winter blooming.



- vii -

As a result of monthly variations of the MPB community composition using the major marker pigments, the concentrations of fucoxanthin, the marker pigment of benthic diatoms, were the highest throughout the year. The concentrations of most of the marker pigments except for chlorophyll b (chlorophytes) and peridinin (dinoflagellates) increased in winter. However, the concentrations of fucoxanthin increased to the highest, and therefore, the relative ratios of the major marker pigments other than fucoxanthin to the TChl a decreased during this period. The vertical distribution of chlorophyll a and oxygen concentrations in the sediments using a fluorometer and an oxygen micro-optode chlorophyll a concentrations decreased with oxygen concentrations with increasing depth of the sediment layers. Moreover, this tendency became more apparent in winter. The chlorophyll a was uniformly vertical down to 12 mm from May to July, but the oxygen concentration distribution in May decreased sharply below 1 mm. The increase in pheophorbide a concentration observed at this time is likely to be caused by increased oxygen consumption of zoobenthic grazing activities. This could be presumed that MPB cells are transported downward by bioturbation of zoobenthos.

Measuring chlorophyll fluorescence via Diving PAM (Pulse amplitude modulated fluorometer) at every hour was perform in order to investigate the vertical migration and photo-physiological characteristics of MPB. The steady-state fluorescence in ambient light (F') increased as time passes during the daytime emersion periods, which suggests that MPB moves upward to the surface of the sediments. The light utilization efficiency of PS II ($\Delta F/F_m$ ') and the relative electron transport rate (rETR) was negatively and positively correlated to irradiance.

KEY WORDS: Microphytobenthos; Marker pigments; Diving PAM; Vertical distribution; Geunso Bay



- viii -

한국 서해 근소만 중부조간대 내 서식하는 저서미세조류 색소와 광생리적 특성의 월별 변동

김은영



본 연구에서는 태안반도 근소만 갯벌에서 저서미세조류(MPB)의 현존량, 군집조성 에 대해 알아보기 위하여 2016년 10월부터 2017년 10월까지 월 1~2회씩 총 16회에 걸쳐 갯벌 표층에 분포하는 저서미세조류 색소를 HPLC (High performance liquid chromatography)를 이용 분석하였다. 갯벌 표층 1 cm 깊이에 분포하는 저서미세조류 의 광합성 색소 중 현존량의 지표로 사용되는 총 chlorophyll *a* (TChl *a*) 농도는 연중 40.4~218.9 mg m⁻² 의 범위를 보였다. TChl *a* 농도는 2월 24일에 최대값이 나타났고 3월에도 높은 값을 보인 뒤 이후 감소하였다. 저서미세조류의 현존량은 동계에 높고 하계에 낮은 값을 나타냈다. Pheophorbide *a* 농도의 월별 변동을 통해 동계에 상위 포식자의 낮은 포식압이 저서미세조류 동계번성에 일부 기여한 것으로 사료된다.

Collection @ kmou

또한 주요지시색소를 이용한 저서미세조류 군집조성의 분석한 결과 저서규조류의 지 시색소인 fucoxanthin의 농도가 연중 가장 높게 나타났다. Chlorophyll b(녹조류), peridinin(와편모조류)을 제외한 대부분의 지시색소의 농도는 동계에 증가하였으나, fucoxanthin의 농도 증가율이 가장 높아 fucoxanthin을 제외한 TChl a에 대한 주요지시 색소의 상대비는 동계에 감소하는 경향이 있었다. 형광광도계와 산소미세전극을 이용 하여 측정한 퇴적물 내 chlorophyll a와 산소 농도의 연직분포 특성은 퇴적층 표면에서 깊이가 깊어질수록 chlorophyll a와 산소 농도가 함께 감소하는 경향을 보였고, 동계로 갈수록 이런 경향이 더욱 뚜렷하게 나타났다. 하지만 5~7월의 chlorophyll a 농도는 다 른 기간에 비해 12 mm까지 연직으로 유사하게 나타났으나, 5월의 산소 농도 분포는 1 mm이하에서 급격하게 감소하였다. 같은 시기에 pheophorbide a 농도가 증가하는 것으 로 보아 저서동물의 포식활동에 의한 산소 소비량이 증가하였을 가능성이 있으며, 저 서동물의 생물교란에 의해 저서미세조류의 세포가 아래로 옮겨진 것으로 추측된다.

Diving PAM (Pulse amplitude modulated fluorometer)으로 엽록소 형광을 시간별로 측 정하여 저서미세조류의 수직 이동과 광생리적 특성에 대해 조사하였다. 낮 동안 간조 시 시간이 지남에 따라 자연광 조건에서의 평형 상태 형광 발생량(F')은 증가하여, 저 서미세조류가 퇴적물 표면으로 수직 상승을 하는 것으로 추정된다. 제 2 광계의 유효 양자수율(△F/F_m')과 상대 최대 전자전달율(rETR)은 일사량에 따라 각각 양의 상관관 계와 음의 상관관계를 보였다.

주제어: 저서미세조류; 지시색소; Diving PAM; 수직 분포; 근소만



- x -

Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 Avoidance strategies to reduce the light stress of MPB and necessity of research

Microphytobenthos (MPB) living in the upper layer of sediments are believed to be important primary producers, contributing up to 50% of the primary productivity of the estuaries (Underwood & Kromkamp, 1999). MPB undergoes severe environmental changes such as strong light, temperature and drying twice a day depending on the tidal cycle. Especially, MPB living in the sediment layer is exposed to more light stress than phytoplankton inhabiting the water column. Therefore, MPB has various behavioral and photo-physiological strategies to reduce such stress. The former is that MPB moves up to the surface to receive more light through vertical migration or move deeper to avoid photoinhibition. The vertical movement of MPB is active enough to be observed within 8 to 15 minutes (Perkins et al., 2002). The latter, in the form of fluorescence, extinguishes excessive light energy, changes the distribution or composition of the pigment, and regulates the density or size of the photosynthetic unit (Chan, 1978; Prézelin & Sweeney, 1978; Riper et al., 1979; Falkowski & Owens, 1980; Perry et al., 1981; SuKenik et al., 1987). Since MPB has a different avoidance strategy for each class, it influences the photosynthetic activity of MPB inhabiting the area and its productivity depending on the population composition and physiological condition of MPB (Kromkamp et al., 1998). Hence, understanding the community structure and biomass variability of MPB and the photo-physiological characteristics is crucial in order to understand the tidal flat ecosystem (Middelburg et al., 2000).

1.2 Advantages of pigments analysis using HPLC and preceding studies

Since the 1980s, biomass and community structure of phytoplankton and



microphytobenthos have been studied in Korea. Microscopic studies on benthic diatom assemblages were conducted at the Incheon coastal tidal flats (Shim & Joe, 1984), Geum estuary intertidal zone (Kim & Cho, 1985), Songdo tidal flats (KOSEP, 1991) and Mankyeong Dongjin tidal flats (Oh, 1990). However, since the microscopic method requires a lot of time and expertise of the experimenter, research on MPB communities using HPLC has been carried out recently. Pigment analysis via HPLC allows quantitative analysis of each pigment. It is also easy to estimate the biomass through the major pigment (chlorophyll *a*) as well as the identification of the phytoplankton community composition at the class level using marker pigments (Lee et al., 2009). The chlorophyll degradation products are also useful for understanding the physiological state of the MPB community and the grazing intensity by the predators (Bidigare et al., 1986; Burkill et al., 1987). In Korea, such researches were conducted at Gomso Bay (Lee, 2001), Ganghwa island tidal flat (Yoo & Choi, 2005), Saemangeum tidal flat (Oh et al., 2004) and Gwangyang Bay tidal flat (Lee et al., 2009).

1.3 Advantages of PAM measurement

Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis using PAM (Pulse amplitude modulated fluorometer) can estimate the biomass of microalgae in a fast and non-destructive way in the field, and the effective quantum yield of the Photosystem II (ϕ_{PS} II) and the electron transport rate (ETR) can be calculated (Perkins et al., 2002; Morris & Kromkamp, 2003). So far, studies have been carried out to quantify MPB biomass with fluorescence measurements obtained through PAM or to estimate photosynthetic efficiency (Serôdio et al., 1997; Consalvey et al., 2004), and primary productivity (Barranguet & Kromkamp, 2000; Serôdio, 2003). In addition, studies on the vertical movement of MPB through PAM were also conducted (Serôdio et al., 1997; Kromkamp et al., 1998; Serôdio et al., 2003; Longphuirt et al., 2006). However, most of these studies were conducted mainly in North America and Europe. In Korea, studies are limited to laboratory experiments using Diving PAM to investigate the variability of the photo-physiological characteristics of four benthic diatoms in terms of temperature (Yun et al., 2009), and the seasonal variations of phytoplankton primary



productivity in Beopsu Marsh (Kim, 2008). It is still necessary to conduct field works on the dynamics of the photo-physiological characteristics of MPB.

1.4 Aims of this study

Therefore, what we want to know in this study is as follows: The investigation of the changes of monthly biomass and community composition of MPB in the Geunso Bay; the investigation of the temporal change of photo-physiological characteristics through fluorescence measurement; the observation of the vertical distribution patterns of MPB.





Chapter 2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sampling sites

This study was carried out 16 times from October 2016 to October 2017 in the Geunso Bay tidal flat of Taean peninsula $(126.1799^{\circ} \text{ E}, 36.7367^{\circ} \text{ N})$. The sampling site is shown in Figure 1. The Geunso Bay is semi-enclosure bay located just between Geun-Heung-myeon and Song-won-myeon of Taean-gun, and is controlled by tidal fluctuation. The total area is about 87 km², the average tidal range is 6 meters, and the mean sea level is 3.55 m. The bay is formed in the southwest-northeast direction with a total length of 10 km and a width of 2.5 to 6 km. 70~90% of the area of tidal flats are exposed to the atmosphere during the emersion period and maintain a depth of 2~4 m during immersion period Most sedimentary deposits of the bay are composed of sandy silt. Because there is no river directly flowing from the land to the mainland, a limited seawater circulation is achieved through the open sea and the bay entrance of about 2 km (Kim & Kim, 2008; Noh, 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2016; An et al., 2017).







2.2 Photosynthetic pigments analysis

The three replicate sediment samples were collected from the research station before the end of the emersion period using an acrylic core with a diameter of 2 cm and a length of 15 cm. For the homogenization of the samples, 1 cm of the upper part of each core was cut and collected in one conical tube, followed by mixing and sub-sampling according to the purpose of the sample. All sub-samples were stored in a Deep freezer (-80°C) until HPLC analysis. For quantitative analysis of photosynthetic pigments, all samples were freeze-dried (Freeze dryer, FDZ-1200, Tokyo Rikakikai Co., Japan) at -45°C for 8~10 hours. The water content of the sediment samples was calculated by comparing the weight before and after freeze drying. 95% acetone was used as a solvent for the MPB's photosynthetic pigments extraction. Ultrasonic sonication was performed for 5 minutes for cell disruption and stored in a dark place at -2° for 24 hours. The extracted samples were filtered using a syringe filter (PTFE, 0.2 µm, Hydrophobic) and used for HPLC measurement. Shimadzu LC-20A HPLC system (Shimadzu, Japan) was used for photosynthetic pigments analysis. It was operated according to the method described in Zapata et al. (2000) (Table 1). For the HPLC analysis, 1 mL of the extracted sample and 400 μ L of HPLC water (J. T. Baker, USA) were mixed. To verify the analytical results, 50 μ L of internal standard (canthaxanthin) was added. The injection volume was set to 200 μ L. The detector was qualitatively and quantitatively analyzed using a Photodiode array detector (PAD). Identification of the peak of the chromatogram was determined by comparing the retention times with 22 standard pigments (DHI co., Denmark). (;chlorophyll a (Chl a), chlorophyll b (Chl b), chlorophyll c_2 (Chl c_2), chlorophyll c_3 (Chl c_3), divinyl chlorophyll a (DV Chl a), chlorophyllide a (Childe a), pheophytin a (Phytin a), pheophorbide a (Phide a), α -carotene (α -Car), β -carotene (β -Car), 19-butanoyloxy-fucoxanthin (But-fuco), 19-hexanoyloxy-fucoxanthin (Hex-fuco), fucoxanthin (Fuco), diatoxanthin (Diato), diadinoxanthin (Diadino), peridinin (Perid), neoxanthin (Neo), prasinoxanthin (Pras), violaxanthin (Viola), alloxanthin (Allo), zeaxanthin (Zea), lutein (Lut))

Standard pigments equation was calculated according to the Woods Hole (1997). The response factor (RF) value was calculated according to the following equation 1 with



reference to the standard pigments concentration provided by DHI Company.

$$RF = \frac{(C_s)(IV)}{A}$$
 Eq. 1

AND O

1945

- RF = standard response factor (ng unit-area⁻¹)
- Cs = pigment standard concentration (ng μL^{-1})
- IV = injection volume (μ L)
- A = integrated peak area

Each pigment was quantified by the following equation 2 & 3.

$$C_{i}=A\,(RF)(\frac{1}{IV})(EV)(\frac{1}{SV})(D)$$

- C_i = individual pigment concentration (ng L⁻¹)
- A = integrated peak area
- RF = standard response factor (ng unit-area⁻¹)
- IV = injection volume (mL)
- EV = extraction volume (mL)
- SV = sample filtration volume (L)
- D = dilution factor

Eq. 2



$$D = \frac{\frac{IV}{V_o}}{\frac{IV}{V_s}}$$

IV = injection volume of standard or sample

 V_o = Total standard solution volume (μ L)

 V_s = Total sample solution volume (μ L)

Collection @ kmou

Total Chl *a* obtained by HPLC was calculated by the following equation 4 (NASA, 2012).

TChla = Childa + Chla Allomer + DVChla + MVChla + Chla Epimer Eq. 4

1945

In order to identify the monthly variation of the vertical distribution of MPB, one sediment core was collected, cut at 2 mm intervals from the top to 14 mm. Since we could not collect samples on February 1th and July 26th of 2017, we surveyed 14 times in one year. Sediment samples were freeze-dried (Freeze dryer, FDZ-1200, Tokyo Rikakikai Co., Japan) at -45° C for 8~10 hours prior to the measurement to improve extraction efficiency. Chl *a* was measured using a 10-AU fluorometer (Turner designs, USA). The acid-based oxidation fluorescence method was used (EPA, 1997). The Chl *a* concentration is calculated using the fluorescence values before and after addition of 65% of 10% HCl (Eq. 5).

$$Chla = K(\frac{F_m}{F_m - 1}) \times (F_b - F_a) \times (\frac{v}{V})$$
 Eq. 5

- 8 -

Eq. 3

- K = sensitivity coefficient, equal to 1 on 10-AU
- F_{m} = max acid ratio F_{b}/F_{a} of pure chlorophyll \emph{a} standard
- F_b = fluorescence before acidification
- F_{a} = fluorescence after acidification
- v = extract volume (L)
- V = volume filtered (L)



Column	Waters Symmetry C_8 column (150 \times 4.6 mm, 3.5 μm particle size)
Solvent A	Methanol : Acetonitrile : Aqueous pyridine (50:25:25 v:v:v)
Solvent B	Methanol : Acetonitrile : Acetone (20:60:20 v:v:v)



2.3 Chlorophyll fluorescence measurement

The chlorophyll fluorescence was measured using Diving PAM (Walz, Germany). Measurements were taken at 1 cm from the surface of the sediment and at an angle of 60 degrees. The fluorescence values (F) measured by PAM are useful for estimating biomasses of MPB (Longphuirt et al., 2006). The biomass distributed on the surface of the sediment appears heterogeneous due to biofilm forming MPB. Consequently, to obtain the representative value at the study station, the fluorescence parameters during a emersion period was measured $3\sim4$ times a day at 64 times per hour in the quadrate.

After the fluorescence measurement, 16 samples of acrylic cores were sampled at the same interval in the quadrate (Fig. 2). Sediment samples were taken to the laboratory and analyzed using an HPLC. The pigment contents of the 16 sediment samples were averaged and used as a representative value of the study station.

At the tip of the PAM probe, a red light-emitting diode (LED) is emitted (650 nm, pulse width 3 μ s, Frequency 0.6 KHz). When this light is irradiated on the sediments living in the MPB, light energy is transferred to the chloroplast. Light energy is quenched by a total of four processes. The remaining light energy used in the other three processes is emitted as fluorescence, which is a form of lower energy (Maxwell & Johnson, 2000; Consalvey et al., 2004). At this time, steady-state fluorescence in ambient light (F') can be obtained under natural light conditions. The maximum fluorescence value (F_m ') in the light acclimated state was measured by irradiating a saturation pulse at each measurement point. The light utilization efficiency of PS II ($\Delta F/F_m$ ') and the relative electron transport rate (rETR) of the Photosystem II be calculated by Equations 6 and 7, respectively (Genty et al., 1989). $\Delta F/F_m$ ' represents the ratio of the light energy absorbed by the chlorophyll located in Photosystem II to the photochemical process (Yun et al., 2009). rETR is the transport speed of the electron and it is useful for estimating the gross primary production (Davoult et al., 2009). The equations $\Delta F/F_m$ ' and rETR are followed by Yun et al. (2009).



$$\Delta F/F_{m}' = \frac{(F_{m}' - F')}{F_{m}'}$$
 Eq. 6

$$rETR = \Phi_{PS\,II} \times PAR$$
 Eq. 7

The minimum fluorescence yield (F_o) in the dark condition is highly correlated with the Chl *a* concentration, which can represent the phototrophic microorganism biomass (Serôdio et al., 1997; Barranguet & Kromkamp, 2000; Honeywill et al., 2002). In addition, F_o is least affected by temperature or species as compared to other fluorescence measurements (Serôdio et al., 2001). However, since it is very difficult to make repeated measurements by making dark conditions in the field, only F' and F_m ' values are measured in this study. F' can be used as a proxy for the biomass of MPB instead of F_o (Kromkamp et al., 1998; Longphuirt et al., 2006). Therefore, F'has used as the proxy of MPB biomass in this research. PAR (photosynthetic active



Fig. 2 The figure in the left shows the quadrate containing 64 measuring points and the right shows the 16 cores sampled at the red dot position.

Collection @ kmou

radiation) was measured with a Licor 1400 data logger connected to a LI-190R Quantum sensor (LI-COR, USA).

2.4 Oxygen concentration measurement

Oxygen microoptodes has been extensively used since the 1990s because it measures oxygen concentration. This method is minimally invasive and has a high spatial resolution (Lee et al., 2010). In this study, oxygen concentrations in the sediment were measured with O_2 Microoptode (Opto-MR, Unisense, Denmark). Oxygen Microoptode sensor utilizing In Situ Stand (IS19, Unisense, Denmark) connected with Field Motor (Unisense, Denmark) was installed facing the sun so that the data is unaffected by shadows. The sensor was fixed vertically on the sediment surface. The data measured at intervals of 50~100 μ m, hourly, over 3 mm depth, until there is no significant change in oxygen concentration. The data was saved in a Field Microsensor Multimeter (Unisense, Denmark).





Chapter 3. Results

3.1 Temporal variation in pigment concentrations

From October 2016 to October 2017, the contents of TChl *a* from the surface of the sediment to 1 cm were ranged from 40.4 to 218.9 mg m⁻². The minimum content of TChl *a* have been observed in July 26th and the maximum content appeared on February 24th (Fig. 3). Phide *a* contents were the highest on November 10th, low from February 15th to March 15th, and increased in May.

MPB Biomasses are highly variable in a small space. Therefore, the TChl *a* contents obtained from the quadrate were compared with the TChl *a* contents of the three replicate sediment samples to identify if these values were representative of this study site (Guarini et al., 1998; Seuront and Spilmont, 2002). Looking at the monthly TChl *a* content on average of the 16 cores obtained in the quadrate, The TChl *a* contents range was 52.6~189.2 mg m⁻², which is lower than the TChl *a* content range obtained with three replicate sediment cores (Fig. 4). This is because we could not conduct experiments on February 24^{th} using the quadrate when winter blooming occurred at the immersion period in the daytime. Likewise, TChl *a* values on November 10th, January 10th, June 5th, July 19th and October 16th have been missed for the same reason. The temporal variation of TChl *a* content of three replicate were highly correlated (R²=0.87).

In order to identify the monthly variation of the vertical distribution of MPB biomass in the sediment layer, the measured values are shown in Fig. 5. The change in Chl *a* content from 0 mm to 10 mm was similar to that of TChl *a* obtained from 3 cores (R^2 =0.81). Although Chl *a* contents tended to decrease with increasing the sediment depths, the depth at which Chl *a* contents showed the maximum of content



varied temporally. On October 20th (2016) and January 3th (2017), the Chl *a* content was the maximum at 2~4 mm, Chl *a* contents peaked at 10~12 mm on May 29th (2017). In the rest of the period, Chl *a* content at 0~2 mm shows the maximum. The Chl *a* content was more concentrated at 0~2mm depth at 49% of the total concentrations on February 24th (2017) when the TChl *a* content was the maximum. In contrast, the Chl *a* content distribution has uniformly appeared in the 0~10 mm depth range on May 29th when the TChl a content was low.

The relative ratio in each accessory pigments of TChl a was obtained from three replicate sediment core samples during October of 2016~2017 (Table 2) where 20 pigments were detected. Fuco being the biggest proportion in accessory pigments, followed by Phide a which is used as a marker pigment for predation by the predator, and Diadino which are the photo-protective pigment.

Of the total 22 accessory pigments, nine were selected as the marker pigment and these monthly contents were plotted in Fig. 6. Fuco, the marker pigment of bacillariophytes, showed the highest contents of other accessory pigments during the year. The annual contents variations of Fuco, Zea and Allo were similar to those of TChl *a*. Zea is the marker pigment of cyanobacteria. Allo is the marker pigment of cryptophytes. The contents of But-fuco (pelagophytes) and Hex-fuco (prymnesiophytes) were the highest on February 24th. Chl *b*, the marker pigments of chlorophytes and euglenophytes, retained high concentration in October and November with the highest concentration of 3.305 mg m⁻² in October 2017. Lut, the marker pigment of chlorophytes, has not shown significant changes of contents during this year other than that it has shown the maximum value of 1.446 mg m⁻² on February 17th. Perid, the marker pigment of dinoflagellates, have shown maximum contents in May of 1.434 mg m⁻². Viola, the marker pigment of chrysophytes with Fuco, have shown high contents in November, January, February and showed the maximum value in March.

Fig. 7 shows the monthly variation of the contribution of each marker pigments to TChl a. The relative ratios Fuco to TChl a were the highest for one year. The relative ratios of other major marker pigments to TChl a were all lower than 0.10. The But-fuco/TChl a increased in November, January and May. The Zea/TChl a and

Perid/TChl a were the highest values in May and June. The Chl b/TChl a and Lut/TChl a were the maximum in October of 2016, the minimum in February, and increased on summer. The Viola/TChl a, Hex-fuco/TChl a and Allo/TChl a were detected in the low values throughout the year.



Fig. 3 Monthly variation of the total chlorophyll a and pheophorbide a contents from Oct. 2016 to Oct. 2017 in Geunso Bay tidal flat. It was the result of cutting 1 cm of the upper part of 3 cores and mixing.





Fig. 4 Total chlorophyll a contents measured by using HPLC in Geunso Bay tidal flat. The results obtained by cutting 1 cm of the upper part of 16 cores in the quadrate

were averaged.





Fig. 5 Vertical distribution of monthly chlorophyll $a \text{ (mg m}^{-2)}$. Using Turner 10-AU fluorometer. The sediment core sample has been sliced 2 mm at a time for a total of 14 mm.

Pigment	Average (±S.D) [mg m ⁻²]	Relative rate to TChl a
Total chlorophyll a (TChl a)	98.22 (±48.55)	1.0000
Fucoxanthin (Fuco)	29.99 (±18.43)	0.2993
Pheophorbide a (Phide a)	7.944 (±4.729)	0.0793
Diadinoxanthin (Diadino)	7.553 (±6.949)	0.0754
β -Carotene (β -Car)	6.319 (±1.870)	0.0631
Diatoxanthin (Diato)	4.141 (±2.240)	0.0413
Zeaxanthin (Zea)	3.907 (±2.107)	0.0390
Chlorophyllide a (Chlide a)	3.383 (±1.530)	0.0338
19 ' -butanoyloxyfucoxanthin (But-fuco)	3.241 (±1.570)	0.0324
Pheophytin a (Phytin a)	2.976 (±0.848)	0.0297
Alloxanthin (Allo)	2.812 (±1.529)	0.0281
Chlorophyll b (Chl b)	2.120 (±0.877)	0.0212
19 ' -hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin (Hex-fuco)	1.061 (±0.348)	0.0106
Chlorophyll c_2 (Chl c_2)	0.969 (±0.375)	0.0097
Prasinoxanthin (Pras)	0.750 (±0.513)	0.0075
Lutein (Lut)	0.705 (±0.212)	0.0070
Peridinin (Perid)	0.577 (±0.319)	0.0058
Violaxanthin (Viola)	0.517 (±0.331)	0.0052
Neoxanthin (Neo)	0.354 (±0.109)	0.0035
α -Carotene (α -Car)	0.319 (±0.105)	0.0032

 Table 2 The annual average contents of photosynthetic pigments and the relative ratios of accessory pigments to total chlorophyll a in Geunso Bay tidal flat.



Fig. 6 Monthly variation of marker pigments contents from Oct. 2016 to Oct. 2017 in Geunso Bay tidal flat. It was the result of cutting 1 cm of the upper part of three cores and mixing.





Sampling time

Fig. 7 The relative ratios of marker pigments to total chlorophyll *a* at sampling site in Geunso Bay. It was the result of cutting 1 cm of the upper part of three cores and mixing.



3.2 Temporal variation in fluorescence parameters

The sediment exposed to the atmosphere was measured $4\sim5$ times hourly using Diving PAM. Increased area of patchiness proportional to the time was observed via F' value (Fig. 8). As the difference between biomass concentrated site and non-concentrated site increases with time, the area of which biomass is concentrated becomes larger; given that F' value from 15:00 in October 20th of 2016 be excluded.

Table 3 shows the PAR values (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) measured with LI-1400 and fluorescence parameters (F', F_m' , $\Delta F/F_m'$, rETR). The F' value increased slightly over time throughout the day other than the values of October 20th at 15:00 and December 3rd at 10:40 and March 15th at 11:00. The daily variation of $\Delta F/F_m'$ and rETR was not large. However, The $\Delta F/F_m'$ value tends to be decreased and rETR value increased according to PAR (Fig. 9).







Fig. 8 Contour graphs showing the change of the steady-state fluorescence in ambient light (F) measured using Diving PAM. The dots mean the measuring point in the quadrate.

Collection @ kmou

Table 3 Temporal variations of the steady-state fluorescence in ambient light (*F* '), the maximum fluorescence value (F_m '), the light utilization efficiency of PS II ($\Delta F/F_m$ '), the relative electron transport (rETR, μ mol electrons m⁻² s⁻¹) and the photosynthetic active radiation (PAR, μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹).

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
12:00 162.8 203.7 0.199 124.7 626.0 13:00 168.4 231.1 0.265 56.7 213.2 14:00 163.5 200.0 0.180 38.2 215.6 15:00 156.3 192.0 0.182 129.5 714.2 3 Dec. 2016 9:40 151.0 188.1 0.322 72.6 374.6 10:40 146.9 177.1 0.290 100.3 683.2 11:40 154.7 185.4 0.274 123.7 862.4 12:40 157.5 191.3 0.284 145.0 936.5 13:40 157.9 194.7 0.303 152.6 916.7 3 Jan. 2017 10:40 160.2 189.2 0.152 116.9 773.3 12:40 168.1 198.3 0.150 141.9 947.1 13:40 170.2 204.9 0.166 159.0 957.1 15 Mar. 2017 10:00 185.2	Date	Time	F'	F_m '	$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	rETR	PAR
13:00 168.4 231.1 0.265 56.7 213.2 14:00 163.5 200.0 0.180 38.2 215.6 15:00 156.3 192.0 0.182 129.5 714.2 3 Dec. 2016 9:40 151.0 188.1 0.322 72.6 374.6 10:40 146.9 177.1 0.290 100.3 683.2 11:40 154.7 185.4 0.274 123.7 862.4 12:40 157.5 191.3 0.284 145.0 936.5 13:40 157.9 194.7 0.303 152.6 916.7 3 Jan. 2017 10:40 154.9 185.2 0.161 83.9 523.6 11:40 160.2 189.2 0.152 116.9 773.3 12:40 168.1 198.3 0.150 141.9 947.1 13:40 170.2 204.9 0.166 159.0 957.1 15 Mar. 2017 10:00 193.4	20 Oct. 2016	10:00	149.7	173.9	0.138	74.4	539.0
14:00163.5200.00.18038.2215.615:00156.3192.00.182129.5714.23 Dec. 20169:40151.0188.10.32272.6374.610:40146.9177.10.290100.3683.211:40154.7185.40.274123.7862.412:40157.5191.30.284145.0936.513:40157.9194.70.303152.6916.73 Jan. 201710:40154.9185.20.16183.9523.611:40160.2189.20.152116.9773.312:40168.1198.30.150141.9947.113:40170.2204.90.166159.0957.115 Mar. 201710:00193.4230.30.157129.6824.611:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2		12:00	162.8	203.7	0.199	124.7	626.0
15:00156.3192.00.182129.5714.23 Dec. 20169:40151.0188.10.32272.6374.610:40146.9177.10.290100.3683.211:40154.7185.40.274123.7862.412:40157.5191.30.284145.0936.513:40157.9194.70.303152.6916.73 Jan. 201710:40154.9185.20.16183.9523.611:40160.2189.20.152116.9773.312:40168.1198.30.150141.9947.113:40170.2204.90.166159.0957.115 Mar. 201710:00193.4230.30.157129.6824.611:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.6 <td< td=""><td></td><td>13:00</td><td>168.4</td><td>231.1</td><td>0.265</td><td>56.7</td><td>213.2</td></td<>		13:00	168.4	231.1	0.265	56.7	213.2
3 Dec. 2016 9:40 151.0 188.1 0.322 72.6 374.6 10:40 146.9 177.1 0.290 100.3 683.2 11:40 154.7 185.4 0.274 123.7 862.4 12:40 157.5 191.3 0.284 145.0 936.5 13:40 157.9 194.7 0.303 152.6 916.7 3 Jan. 2017 10:40 154.9 185.2 0.161 83.9 523.6 11:40 160.2 189.2 0.152 116.9 773.3 12:40 168.1 198.3 0.150 141.9 947.1 13:40 170.2 204.9 0.166 159.0 957.1 15 Mar. 2017 10:00 193.4 230.3 0.157 129.6 824.6 11:00 185.2 208.9 0.110 126.3 1144.8 12:00 201.1 228.0 0.116 158.2 1360.1 13:00 207.6 235.1 0.114 170.0 1493.8 26 Jul. 2017 11:15 <td< td=""><td></td><td>14:00</td><td>163.5</td><td>200.0</td><td>0.180</td><td>38.2</td><td>215.6</td></td<>		14:00	163.5	200.0	0.180	38.2	215.6
10:40146.9177.10.290100.3683.211:40154.7185.40.274123.7862.412:40157.5191.30.284145.0936.513:40157.9194.70.303152.6916.73 Jan. 201710:40154.9185.20.16183.9523.611:40160.2189.20.152116.9773.312:40168.1198.30.150141.9947.113:40170.2204.90.166159.0957.115 Mar. 201710:00193.4230.30.157129.6824.611:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.313:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3		15:00	156.3	192.0	0.182	129.5	714.2
11:40154.7185.40.274123.7862.412:40157.5191.30.284145.0936.513:40157.9194.70.303152.6916.73 Jan. 201710:40154.9185.20.16183.9523.611:40160.2189.20.152116.9773.312:40168.1198.30.150141.9947.113:40170.2204.90.166159.0957.115 Mar. 201710:00193.4230.30.157129.6824.611:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3	3 Dec. 2016	9:40	151.0	188.1	0.322	72.6	374.6
12:40157.5191.30.284145.0936.513:40157.9194.70.303152.6916.73 Jan. 201710:40154.9185.20.16183.9523.611:40160.2189.20.152116.9773.312:40168.1198.30.150141.9947.113:40170.2204.90.166159.0957.115 Mar. 201710:00193.4230.30.157129.6824.611:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3		10:40	146.9	177.1	0.290	100.3	683.2
13:40157.9194.70.303152.6916.73 Jan. 201710:40154.9185.20.16183.9523.611:40160.2189.20.152116.9773.312:40168.1198.30.150141.9947.113:40170.2204.90.166159.0957.115 Mar. 201710:00193.4230.30.157129.6824.611:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3		11:40	154.7	185.4	0.274	123.7	862.4
3 Jan. 2017 10:40 154.9 185.2 0.161 83.9 523.6 11:40 160.2 189.2 0.152 116.9 773.3 12:40 168.1 198.3 0.150 141.9 947.1 13:40 170.2 204.9 0.166 159.0 957.1 15 Mar. 2017 10:00 193.4 230.3 0.157 129.6 824.6 11:00 185.2 208.9 0.110 126.3 1144.8 12:00 201.1 228.0 0.116 158.2 1360.1 13:00 207.6 235.1 0.114 170.0 1493.8 26 Jul. 2017 11:15 139.5 165.8 0.155 105.5 682.3 12:15 145.8 174.4 0.159 59.3 1008.6 13:15 148.2 175.0 0.150 114.7 749.0 14:15 137.5 158.6 0.131 126.9 969.3 22 Sep. 2017 9:20 145.7 201.1 0.254 126.3 497.8 10:20 <		12:40	157.5	191.3	0.284	145.0	936.5
11:40160.2189.20.152116.9773.312:40168.1198.30.150141.9947.113:40170.2204.90.166159.0957.115 Mar. 201710:00193.4230.30.157129.6824.611:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3		13:40	157.9	194.7	0.303	152.6	916.7
12:40168.1198.30.150141.9947.113:40170.2204.90.166159.0957.115 Mar. 201710:00193.4230.30.157129.6824.611:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3	3 Jan. 2017	10:40	154.9	185.2	0.161	83.9	523.6
13:40170.2204.90.166159.0957.115 Mar. 201710:00193.4230.30.157129.6824.611:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3		11:40	160.2	189.2	0.152	116.9	773.3
15 Mar. 2017 10:00 193.4 230.3 0.157 129.6 824.6 11:00 185.2 208.9 0.110 126.3 1144.8 12:00 201.1 228.0 0.116 158.2 1360.1 13:00 207.6 235.1 0.114 170.0 1493.8 26 Jul. 2017 11:15 139.5 165.8 0.155 105.5 682.3 12:15 145.8 174.4 0.159 59.3 1008.6 13:15 148.2 175.0 0.150 114.7 749.0 14:15 137.5 158.6 0.131 126.9 969.3 22 Sep. 2017 9:20 145.7 201.1 0.254 126.3 497.8 10:20 166.1 204.2 0.181 56.2 824.2 11:20 148.5 176.7 0.153 169.6 1102.3		12:40	168.1	198.3	0.150	141.9	947.1
11:00185.2208.90.110126.31144.812:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3		13:40	170.2	204.9	0.166	159.0	957.1
12:00201.1228.00.116158.21360.113:00207.6235.10.114170.01493.826 Jul. 201711:15139.5165.80.155105.5682.312:15145.8174.40.15959.31008.613:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3	15 Mar. 2017	10:00	193.4	230.3	0.157	129.6	824.6
13:00 207.6 235.1 0.114 170.0 1493.8 26 Jul. 2017 11:15 139.5 165.8 0.155 105.5 682.3 12:15 145.8 174.4 0.159 59.3 1008.6 13:15 148.2 175.0 0.150 114.7 749.0 14:15 137.5 158.6 0.131 126.9 969.3 22 Sep. 2017 9:20 145.7 201.1 0.254 126.3 497.8 10:20 166.1 204.2 0.181 56.2 824.2 11:20 148.5 176.7 0.153 169.6 1102.3		11:00	185.2	208.9	0.110	126.3	1144.8
26 Jul. 2017 11:15 139.5 165.8 0.155 105.5 682.3 12:15 145.8 174.4 0.159 59.3 1008.6 13:15 148.2 175.0 0.150 114.7 749.0 14:15 137.5 158.6 0.131 126.9 969.3 22 Sep. 2017 9:20 145.7 201.1 0.254 126.3 497.8 10:20 166.1 204.2 0.181 56.2 824.2 11:20 148.5 176.7 0.153 169.6 1102.3		12:00	201.1	228.0	0.116	158.2	1360.1
12:15 145.8 174.4 0.159 59.3 1008.6 13:15 148.2 175.0 0.150 114.7 749.0 14:15 137.5 158.6 0.131 126.9 969.3 22 Sep. 2017 9:20 145.7 201.1 0.254 126.3 497.8 10:20 166.1 204.2 0.181 56.2 824.2 11:20 148.5 176.7 0.153 169.6 1102.3		13:00	207.6	235.1 🗸	0.114	170.0	1493.8
13:15148.2175.00.150114.7749.014:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3	26 Jul. 2017	11:15	139.5	165.8	0.155	105.5	682.3
14:15137.5158.60.131126.9969.322 Sep. 20179:20145.7201.10.254126.3497.810:20166.1204.20.18156.2824.211:20148.5176.70.153169.61102.3		12:15	145.8	174.4	0.159	59.3	1008.6
22 Sep. 2017 9:20 145.7 201.1 0.254 126.3 497.8 10:20 166.1 204.2 0.181 56.2 824.2 11:20 148.5 176.7 0.153 169.6 1102.3		13:15	148.2	175.0	0.150	114.7	749.0
10:20 166.1 204.2 0.181 56.2 824.2 11:20 148.5 176.7 0.153 169.6 1102.3		14:15	137.5	158.6	0.131	126.9	969.3
11:20 148.5 176.7 0.153 169.6 1102.3	22 Sep. 2017	9:20	145.7	201.1	0.254	126.3	497.8
		10:20	166.1	204.2	0.181	56.2	824.2
12:20 156.8 193.0 0.181 255.7 1235.3		11:20	148.5	176.7	0.153	169.6	1102.3
		12:20	156.8	193.0	0.181	255.7	1235.3



Fig. 9 Change in effective quantum efficiency ($\Delta F/F_m'$, closed circle) and relative photosynthetic electron transport (rETR, closed square) with photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) during emersion periods.
3.3 Distribution of oxygen concentration in sediments

The distribution of oxygen concentration in sediments was concentrated in the range of 0~1 mm from the surface of the sediment ($71.5\pm12.6\%$). The oxygen permeation depth showed a maximum value of 4.5 mm in January and February of winter, and a minimum value of 1.5 mm in May. The temporal variation of oxygen concentration in the upper part of the sediment increased with time (Fig. 10).



Fig. 10 Oxygen concentration profiles measured in sediment cores from Geunso Bay tidal flat.

Chapter 4. Discussion

4.1 Monthly variations of MPB biomass

Compared with the preceding research both domestically and internationally, the Chl a content range in this research was similar or higher than the results of other studies (Table 4). There may be differences in Chl a values depending on the extraction method of the pigment and the calculation method of the pigment concentration. In this study, freeze-drying was carried out before the pigments extraction to enhance the extraction efficiency and to minimize the influence of moisture content of the sediment layer. The total Chl a was calculated for the purpose of obtaining accurate biomass. However, the difference between the ranges of Chl a and TChl a values was not significant. Therefore, the various environmental factors affecting the MPB biomass include the exposure time of the sediment depending on the tidal cycle, the grain size of the sediment, irradiance, temperature, nutrients, and the predation pressure should be considered (Lee et al., 2009).

In this research, the content of TChl a has also shown highest in winter. The TChl a contents decrease during the spring and summer and slowly increase during the fall. In case of the temperate zone of the Northern Hemisphere, low concentration is shown in the biomass of phytoplankton living in the water column during the winter. In contrast, generally high concentration has shown in the biomass of MPB living in the sediment during the winter (Colijn & de Jonge, 1984; de Jonge & Colijn, 1994). On the other hand, Kwangyang Bay has shown low biomass during the winter and high during the summer. This is due to increased irradiance and temperature during the summer (Lee et al., 2009). Tidal flat in Ganghwa Island has shown MPB bloom during winter and low biomass during spring which concurs with this study (Yoo & Choi, 2005). According to the study by Oh et al. (2004), tidal flat in Saemangeum also shows MPB bloom during winter and smaller bloom during fall.



When Chl *a* is degraded by the acidic environment in the stomach of the predators, the magnesium departs from the center of the chlorophyll and becomes Phytin *a*, and if it falls off to a phytol chain it becomes Phide *a* (Bidigare et al., 1986). In previous studies, the contents of Phide *a* is used as an index to estimate the degree of grazing intensity by predators (Bidigare et al., 1986; Burkill et al., 1987). Phide *a* contents were low on February 15^{th} , February 24^{th} , and March 17^{th} (Fig. 3). In general, the inhabitation density of zoobenthos that feeds on MPB in the west coastal tidal flats is known to be high in summer and low in winter due to the low temperature (Kim et al., 2005; Min et al., 2006). The similar changes were observed in the seasonal inhabitation density of meiofauna (Shin et al., 2016). Therefore, The low grazing intensity of predators seems to contribute to the winter bloom of MPB.





Table 4 Reported values of sediment chlorophyll content. Some part of data followed by MacIntyre et al. (1996). The contents inbrackets mean the average values of chlorophyll a content.

Location	Latitude	Duration	Thickness	Chlorophyll a content	Source
		(months)	(mm)	(mg m ⁻²)	
Dutch Wadden Sea, Netherlands	53° 05′N	57	10	30-420 (102) ^a	Cadée and Hegeman (1974)
Netarts Bay, US	45° 25′N	12	10	10-315 (138) ^a	Davis and McIntire (1983)
Golfe de Fos, France	43° 23′N	28	10	< 1-75 (53) ^a	Plante-Cuny and Bodoy (1987)
Ria de Arosa, Spain	42° 24 ' N	14	10	30-103 (14) ^a	Varela and Penas (1985)
Boston Harbor, US	42° 19′N	14	10	50-330 (26) ^a	Gould and Gallagher (1990)
Potter Pond Lagoon, US	41° 23′N	12	10	10-460 (30)	Nowicki and Nixon (1985)
Long Island Sound, US	41° 00 $'~{\rm N}$	13	10	2-225 (30) ^a	Sun et al. (1994)
Delaware Estuary, US	38° 45′N	12	10945	79-166 (36)	Sullivan and Daiber (1975)
Isshiki Tidal Flat, Japan	$34^\circ~46$ ' N	12	10	83-149 (112.4)	Goto et al. (2000)
Peel-Harvey Estuary, Australia	32°21′N	16	100	30-560 (115) ^a	Lukatelich and McComb (1986)
Ganghwa Island, Korea	37° 60′N	8	10	1.18-34.25 (7.60)	Yoo and Choi (2005)
Geunso Bay, Korea	36°44 'N	16	10	40-219 (98) ^b , 36-214 (96) ^c	Values of this research
Mangyung Tidal Flat, Korea	35° 55′N	4	5	0.69-32.11 (8.27)	Oh et al. (2004)
Hampyeong Bay, Korea	35° 05′N	24	1	27-65 (32.2)	Lee (2013)
Gwangyang Bay, Korea	34° 55′N	10	5	3.44-169 (37.5)	Lee et al. (2009)

^a Estimated from a figure ^b Total chlorophyll *a* ^c Chlorophyll *a*



4.2 The community composition of MPB

The monthly composition of photosynthetic pigments in the sediment layer of Geunso Bay was analyzed (Fig. 6). MPB community composition consisted of bacillariophytes (;benthic diatoms), chlorophytes, cryptophytes, dinoflagellates, cyanobacteria, pelagophytes, euglenophytes, chrysophytes and prymnesiophytes. Most marker pigment contents tended to increase in winter except for Chl *b* and Perid, Chl *b* contents were the lowest in winter, but the highest in fall, Perid contents were the highest on May. It was found that the relative ratios of all marker pigment except Fuco decreased in winter (Fig. 7). Therefore, although the biomass of MPB taxa other then chlorophytes and dinoflagellates increased in winter, biomass of benthic diatom had the greatest influence on winter bloom.

The contribution of benthic diatoms to MPB community composition in winter increases because benthic diatoms are strong at low temperature and can survive even in Polar Regions. Hejduková (2016) exposed polar diatoms and temperate diatoms to freezing temperatures. As a result, the difference in survival between polar and temperate strains of diatoms was not significant. All the strains survived the -4°C treatment. Most of the strains survived -20°C treatment. Larras et al. (2014), not only the MPB community of winter showed high biomass, Species richness, diversity, and evenness of benthic diatoms were also higher than those of summer. In order to survive at such low temperatures, benthic diatom produced high amounts of Extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). EPS is composed of polysaccharides, amino acids, and proteins. EPS plays the key role to protect diatom cells against the severe environment especially in winter conditions by increasing the volume of the inhabitable liquid phase (Welsh, 2000; Krembs et al., 2011; Hejduková, 2016).

4.3 Monthly Chl a vertical distribution

There are several factors that change the depth of sediment where MPB appear. The main factor is the difference in the depth of light transmission depending on the characteristics of the sediments. Other factors include temperature fluctuation



exceeding 10°C throughout the day and tidal cycle as well as the depth of the anaerobic layer in the sediment. It is also altered by the difference in the endogenous migratory rhythm of MPB or the vertical mobility according to the MPB taxa (Serôdio et al., 1997; Underwood & Kromkamp, 1999; Perkins et al., 2002; Yun et al., 2009; Du et al., 2010).

Diatoms, euglenoids, cyanobacteria, dinoflagellates and chrysomonad have the ability of vertical migration (Round & Palmer, 1966; Paterson, 1986; 1989; Happev-Wood & Jones, 1988; Raven et al., 1992; Hay et al., 1993; Jönsson et al., 1994; as cited in Consalvey et al., 2004). The euphotic zone depth in the sediment depends on the grain size and organic content. In the case of sandy sediment, It was 2~3 mm on (Rasmussen et al., 1983). Therefore, if light penetrates deeper, photosynthesis might occur at the deeper depths, and even two layers might appear, vertically separated into two layers of diatoms and cyanobacteria. The layer of filamentous cyanobacteria appears below the diatom's layer (Wiltshire, 2000). This vertical distribution difference is due to the different physiological characteristics of the MPB taxa (Ploug et al., 1993; Kromkamp et al., 1998). However, benthic diatoms are dominant during the year in this study site. Therefore, the monthly changes in the biomass of other taxa are not large enough to have an effect (Barranguet et al., 1997). In addition, the sediment core sample of February 24th HPLC analysis was performed together. The vertical contents distribution of TChl a and Fuco showed a high correlation (R²=0.99), and the Fuco contents was the highest among the accessory pigments at the depths of 0~14 mm (data not shown).

According to preceding study, more than half of the benthic diatoms found at the top of the sediments are the motile epipelon, and the 90~97% of benthic diatoms at 2~10 mm depth are the episammon (Barnett et al., 2015). The grain size of the sediments is seasonally changed, and the species of benthic diatom also changed together (An et al., 2018). *Nitzschia* and *Navicula* reported high relative frequency in spring and *Amphora* mostly in fall and summer (An, 2016). *Navicula* and *Nitzchia* belong to the motile epipelon and *Amphora* belongs to the motile epipsammon .

In this study, monthly Chl a vertical distribution patterns were found to be deeper



than in other periods from May to July of 2017 (Fig 5). According to the survey conducted in the Nakdong River estuary, The Chl a in the sedimentary layer shows a high content up to 1 cm depth from the surface; the seasonal variation of the Chl a content showed up to 2 cm depth. In summer and fall, the concentrations of pheopigments-a were higher than those of Chl a in some sites. The predation pressure on the upper predator was higher. Although the exact cause is unknown, the Chl a content was detected at 5 to 6 mm depths in April of 2007, even though it was measured during the daytime during emersion period (Du et al., 2010).

In this research, the Phide *a* contents were also relatively high at that time when the Chl *a* content appeared to a comparatively deep depth (Fig. 3). Therefore, it is possible that the predator feed on MPB and then they moved below the sediment layer and we measured the Chl *a* value, which was not decomposed (Decho, 1988). Also, the mixing effect by bioturbation can be considered. In addition, benthic diatoms are able to survive in dark sediment for months or even years utilizing low metabolic activity in a form of facultative heterotrophy and by forming resting cells (Veuger & van Oevelen, 2011).

The distribution of oxygen concentration in sediments was concentrated in the range of 0~1 mm from the surface of the sediment (Fig. 10). In other articles, the depth of maximum oxygen concentration was reported to be mostly observed at the depth of 0.1 to 0.5 mm in the surface layer, which is also similar to the results of preceding research (Nielsen et al., 1990; Kühl et al., 1996; Hwang & Cho, 2005). The oxygen concentration in the upper part of the sediments increased as time progressed from morning to afternoon, which suggest that the MPB photosynthetic rate has increased as well as vertical migration of MPB to the upper layer. Similarly, Denis et al. (2012) has observed that the pattern of increases in photosynthetic rate of the upper part of the sediments during immersion periods and the opposite pattern of rapid decreases in photosynthetic rate before the emersion periods. In this study oxygen microoptode was used to track the vertical distribution of MPB. The Chl a content was uniformly distributed vertically in May~July (spring~summer), and the oxygen concentration decreased sharply at less than 1 mm depths in May. Therefore, it is highly likely that the MPB cells in the sediment layer were mixed by the



bioturbation in May, and corresponding biomasses of MPB were uniformly distributed to deeper sediment layers.

4.4 Daily vertical migration of MPB

MPB's second ecological avoidance strategy to avoid photoinhibition is vertical migration. Vertical migration of MPB occurring during the tidal cycle usually move up to the surface of the sediments during emersion period in the daytime and move downward to the surface of the sediments during immersion period in the nighttime (Round & Palmer, 1966; Happey-Wood & Jones, 1988; Consalvey et al., 2004). As shown in figure 8, It implies that the MPB beneath the sedimentary layer climbed up to the surface of the sediments for photosynthesis, considering that the F' reached the maximum value after 1~2 hours in the local noon throughout the observation period.

Serôdio et al. (2006) describes the biomass variation of MPB communities using F_o as a function of the irradiance in the range 0 to 1500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. In the range of 0~250 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, the F_o intensity was rapidly increased and there was a sharp decrease in the range of 250~500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The decrease of F_o gradually occurred in 500~1500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The increase and decrease MPB biomass were made within 60 minutes according to the change of irradiance, and thereafter biomass was stable. In this study, the irradiance was 215.6 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 14:00 with the sudden increase of 714.2 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 15:00 in October of 2016 (Table 3). Therefore, it indicates that the F' decreases as the Irradiance increases at that time. It implies that the MPB community had a rapid vertical movement below the sediment surface. It is also assumed that the decrease in the F' values at 9:40 to 10:40 on December 3th occurred for the same reason.

4.5 Temporal variation of photo-physiological characteristics of MPB

 $\Delta F/F_m$ ' varies by about 30% per day depending on the day-night cycle. It shows the steepest increase when it changes from night to day and maintains a constant value during daytime (Longphuirt et al., 2006). Therefore, the daily $\Delta F/F_m$ ' change



was not significant because it was always performed during the daytime. The daily change in rETR was not significant either (Table 3).

Irradiance was sufficient to show photoinhibition over 1000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ from January, though photoinhibition has not appeared during the observation period (Fig. 9). It turns out to be a result of vertical movement or optical physiological adaptation to avoid photoinhibition of MPB. Perkins et al. (2002) found that benthic diatoms go downward to avoid photoinhibition as PAR increases. If the MPB, which received strong light intensity, go deeper from the surface of the sediments to avoid photoinhibition, the amount of light energy actually entering the cell will be reduced. Diving PAM is capable of measuring fluorescence from a cell located at a depth of about 200 μ m from the surface of the sediment. Therefore, if the PAR is increased enough to cause photoinhibition, $\Delta F/F_m$ ' must also decrease, but $\Delta F/F_m$ ' increased by the MPB moving downward. It is considered to be measured at rETR higher than the actual value.

The $\Delta F/F_m$ ' and rETR were negatively and positively correlated to the irradiance, respectively. Therefore, as the PAR increases, the efficiency with which chlorophyll absorbs light energy decreases, while the gross primary production increases. However, It showed the different variation pattern in July. It might be the result of vertical migration of MPB, and might be interpreted as a change in photosynthetic characteristics and photosynthetic ability with changes in species composition in benthic diatoms. According to Yun et al. (2009), the photosynthetic capacities of four diatoms (*Navicula* sp., *Nitzschia* sp., *Cylindrotheca closterium, Pleurosigma elongatum*) have shown differences in temperature. For example, in *Pleurosigma elongatem* the efficiency of photosynthesis continued to increase even at 35°C. Therefore, further investigation on the temporal variations of the species composition and photosynthetic characteristics in benthic diatoms will be necessary.



Chapter 5. Conclusions

In this study, We investigated the pigment composition, vertical distribution and photosynthetic characteristics of MPB in the sedimentary layer of the middle intertidal zone of Geunso Bay. TChl *a* concentrations representing biomass were high throughout the year. Seasonally, MPB biomass was highest in winter and minimum in summer and increased again in fall. The benthic diatom having Fuco as a marker pigment dominated throughout the year, but the relative ratios of other marker pigments have shown low values, and these differences were more prominent in winter. The monthly vertical distribution of MPB in the sediment layer was investigated, and MPB were shown to deeper depths from May to July. It is presumed that MPB cells are transported downward by bioturbation of zoobenthos. $\Delta F/F_m$ ' and rETR values, which reflect the photosynthetic characteristics of MPB, showed little change during the day. Each of $\Delta F/F_m$ ' and rETR was negatively and positively correlated to irradiance. Therefore, as the PAR increases, the efficiency with which chlorophyll absorbs light energy decreases, while the gross primary production increases.



Acknowledgements

석사학위논문을 마무리하여 이렇게 감사의 글을 쓸 수 있게 된 것이 아직도 믿겨지 지 않습니다. 비록 석사과정동안 많은 우여곡절을 겪었지만, 그 덕에 고마운 분들을 많이 알게 되었고, 다양한 경험을 통해 내적으로도 성장할 수 있었습니다. 여전히 많이 부족하지만 많은 분들의 도움이 없었다면 여기까지도 오지 못 했을 것입니다. 그래서 이 글을 통해 여러분들께 감사함을 전해드리고 싶습니다.

먼저 아무것도 모르는 저에게 색소체 강의를 해주시고 여러 논문들을 접하게 해주신 노일 교수님께 깊이 감사드립니다. 그때 배웠던 내용들은 졸업논문을 쓰는 동안 정말 많은 도움이 되었습니다. 많이 답답하셨을 텐데 끝까지 저를 포기하지 않고 지도해주 신 노재훈 지도교수님께도 고개 숙여 깊이 감사드립니다. 365일 늘 해양생태연구에 열 정적인 지도교수님의 모습은 가슴 깊이 새기고 본받을 수 있도록 노력하겠습니다. 그 리고 소중한 충고와 조언을 해주신 최동한 교수님, 양은찬 교수님, 이연정 박사님께도 감사드립니다. 바쁘신 중에 제 논문 심사를 맡아주시고 다양한 의견을 주셨던 이용우 박사님께도 깊이 감사드립니다.

저의 수많은 질문들로 귀찮으셨을 텐데 항상 시간 내주시고, 진심어린 조언 해주신 안성민 박사님께도 정말 감사드립니다. 논문작업의 시작부터 끝까지 정말 많은 가르침 을 주셔서 무사히 졸업할 수 있었습니다. 먼 길 와주셔서 고민 들어주시고 함께 걱정 해주셨던 허성회 교수님, 박미옥 교수님께도 깊은 감사 말씀 드립니다. HPLC 실험과정 을 자세히 가르쳐주신 하선용 박사님, 민준오 연구원님, 동민오빠, 수진언니께도 진심 으로 감사합니다. 그리고 한국해양과학기술원 phyto lab의 호원오빠, 종석오빠, 원석오 빠, 명진오빠, 지훈오빠, 장연오빠, 유리언니, 혜련언니, 성태 그리고 하은이, 모두들 실 험 도와주시고 응원해주셔서 감사합니다. 처음 쓰는 영어논문의 교정을 도와준 민지언 니와 현석오빠에게도 고마운 마음 전합니다. 항상 옆에서 든든하게 힘이 되어 주었던 우리 가족들과 친구들 모두 사랑합니다.

이 외에도 지금까지 저에게 도움을 주시고 응원해주신 많은 분들께 감사드립니다. 항상 성장하는 사람이 되겠습니다. 감사합니다.



Collection @ kmou

References

- An, S.M., 2016. DNA barcoding and next-generation sequencing to reveal the unexplored diversity of benthic diatoms in the tidal flat in west coast of Korea.
 Ph.D. thesis. Graduate School of Daegu University.
- An, S.M., Choi, D.H., Lee, H. & Noh J.H., 2017. Identification of benthic diatoms isolated from the eastern tidal flats of the Yellow Sea: Comparison between morphological and molecular approaches. *Plos one* 12(6), e0179422.
- An, S.M., Choi, D.H., Lee, H., Lee, J.H. & Noh, J.H., 2018. Next-generation sequencing reveals the diversity of benthic diatoms in tidal flats. *Algae* 33(2), pp.167-180.
- Barranguet, C. & kromamp, J.C., 2000. Estimating primary production rates from photosynthetic electron transport in estuarine microphytobenthos. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 204, pp.39–52.
- Barnett, A., Méléder, V., Blommaert, L., Lepetit, B., Gaudin, P., Vyverman, W., Sabbe, K., Dupuy, C. & Lavaud, J., 2015. Growth form defines physiological photoprotective capacity in intertidal benthic diatoms. *Microb. Ecol.*, 9, pp.32-45.
- Bidigare, R.P., Frank, T.J., Zastrow. C. & Brooks, J.M., 1986. The distribution of algal chlorophylls and their degradation products in the Southern Ocean. *Deep-Sea Res.*, 33, pp.923–937.
- Burkill, P.H., Mantoura, R.F.C., Llewellyn, C.A. & Owens, N.J.P., 1987. Microzooplankton grazing and selectivity of phytoplankton in coastal waters. *Mar. Biol.*, 93, pp.581–590.
- Cadée, G.C. & Hegeman, J., 1974. Primary production of the benthic microflora living on tidal flats in the Dutch Wadden Sea. *Neth. J. Sea Res.*, 8, pp.260–291.
- Chan, A.T., 1978. Comparative physiological study of marine diatoms and



dinoflagellates in relation to irradiance and cell size. I. Growth under continuous light. *J. Phycol.*, 14, pp.396-402.

- Choi, Y.H., Choi, Y.S., Cho, Y.S., Kim, Y.T. & Jeon, S.R., 2016. A study on the habitat suitability considering survival, Growth, Environment for *Ruditapes philippinarum* in Geunso Bay (Pado and Beopsan) *J. Korean Soc. Mar. Environ. Saf.*, 22, pp.723–730. (in Korean)
- Colijn, F. & de Jonge V.N., 1984. Primary production of microphytobenthos in the Ems-Dollard Estuary. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 14, pp.185–196.
- Consalvey, M., Paterson, D.M. & Underwood, G.J.C., 2004. The ups and downs of life in a benthic biofilm: Migration of benthic diatoms. *Diatom Res.*, 19, pp.181–202.
- Davis, M.W. & McIntire, C.D., 1983. Effects of physical gradients on the production dynamics of sediment-associated algae. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 13, pp.103-114.
- Davoult, D., Migné, A., Créach, A., Gévaert, F., Hubasm, C., Spilmont, N. & Boucher, G., 2009. Spatio-temporal variability of intertidal benthic primary production and respiration in the western part of the Mont Saint-Michel Bay (western English channel, France). *Hydrobiologia*, 620, pp.163–172.
- Decho, A.W., 1988. How do harpacticoid grazing rates differ over a tidal cycle? Field verification using chlorophyll-pigment analyses. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 45, pp.263–270.
- de Jonge, V.N. & van Beusekom, J.E.E., 1992. Contribution of resuspended microphytobenthos to total phytoplankton in the Ems Estuary and its possible role for grazers. *Neth. J. Sea Res.*, 30, pp.91–105.
- de Jonge, V.N. & Colijn, F., 1994. Dynamics of microphytobenthos biomass in the Ems estuary. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 104, pp.185–196.
- Denis, L., Gevaert F. & Spilmont, N., 2012. Microphytobenthic production estimated by in situ oxygen microprofiling: short-term dynamics and carbon budget implications. *J. Soils Sediments*, 12, pp.1517–1529.
- Du, G.Y., Son, M., An, S. & Chung, I.K., 2010. Temporal variation in the vertical distribution of microphytobenthos in intertidal flats of the Nakdong River estuary,



Korea. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 86, pp.62-70.

- EPA, 1997. Method 445.0. In Vitro Determination of Chlorophyll a and Pheophytin a in Marine and Freshwater Algae by Fluorescence, pp.8–9.
- Falkowski, P.G. & Owens, T.G., 1980. Light-shade adaptation: 2 strategies in marine phytoplankton. *Plant Physiol.*, 66, pp.592–595.
- Genty, B., Briantais, J.M. & Baker, N.R., 1989. The relationship between quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. *Biochim Biophys. Acta.*, 990, pp.87–92.
- Gould, D.M. & Gallagher, E.D., 1990. Field measurments of specific growth rate, biomass, and primary production of benthic diatoms of Savin Hill Cove, Boston. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 35, pp.1757–1770.
- Guarini, J.M., Blanchard, G.E., Bacher, C., Gros, P., Riera, P., Richard, P., Gouleau, D., Galois, R., Prou, J. & Sauriau, P.G., 1998. Dynamics of spatial patterns of microphytobenthic biomass: inferences from geostatistical analysis of two comprehensive surveys in Marennes-Oléron bay (France). *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 166, pp.131-141.
- Goto, N., Mitamura, O. & Terai, H., 2000. Seasonal variation in primary production of microphytobenthos at the Isshiki intertidal flat in Mikawa Bay. *Limnol. (Japanese)*, 1, pp.133–138.
- Hwang, C.Y. & Cho, B.C., 2005. Measurement of net photosynthetic Rates in intertidal flats of Ganghwa-gun and Incheon north harbor using oxygen microsensors. *J. Korean Soc. Oceanogr.*, 10, pp.31-37. (in Korean)
- Happey-Wood, C.M. & Jones, P., 1988. Rhythms of vertical migration and motility in intertidal benthic diatoms with particular reference to Pleurosigma angulatum. *Diatom Res.*, 3, pp.83–93.
- Hay, S.I., Maitland, T.C. & Paterson, D.M., 1993. The speed of diatom migration through natural and artificial substrata. *Diatom Res.*, 8, pp.371–384.

Hejduková, E., 2016. Tolerance of pennate diatoms (Bacillariophyceae) to experimental



freezing: comparison of polar and temperate strains. Ph.D. thesis. Charles University.

- Honeywill, C., Paterson, D.M. & Hagerthey, S.E., 2002. Determination of microphytobenthic biomass using pulse-amplitude modulated minimum fluorescence. *Eur. J. Phycol.*, 37, pp.485–492.
- Jönsson, B., Sundback, K. & Milsson, C., 1994. An upright life-form of an epipelic motile diatom: on the behavior of Gyrosigma balticum. *Eur. J. Phycol.*, 29, pp.11-15.
- Kim, D.S. & Kim, K.H., 2008. Tidal and seasonal variations of nutrients in Keunso bay, the yellow sea. *Ocean Polar Res.*, 30(1), pp.1-10. (in Korean)
- Kim, J.H. & Cho, K.J., 1985. The Physico-chemical properties of sediment, the species composition and biomass of benthic diatoms in the intertidal zone of Kum river estuary. J. Ecol. Environ., 8, pp.21–29. (in Korean)
- Kim, J.N., Choi, Y.J., Im, K.H., Choi, K.H. & Ma, C.W., 2005. Species composition and seasonal variation of decapod crustacean assemblage in Hampyeong Bay, Korea. J. Korean Fish. Soc., 38(1), pp.20–28. (in Korean)
- Kim, M.K., 2008. Seasonal variations of primary productivity analyzed by Phyto-PAM chlorophyll fluorometry in the Beopsu marsh, Haman-gun, Gyeongsangnam-do. *Korean J. Environ. Biol.*, 26, pp.76–86. (in Korean)
- KIOST, 2010. Studies on sediments waters and biota to understand major environmental factors in the rehabilitation of degraded tidal flats. Korean Institute of Ocean Science and Technology. Korea Institute of ocean science technology. pp.436.
- KOSEF, 1991. Primary production tidal flat benthic microalgae west coast of Korea, Korea science and engineering foundation. (in Korean)
- Krembs, C., Eicken, H. & Deming, J.W., 2011. Exopolymer alteration of physical properties of sea ice and implications for ice habitability and biogeochemistry in a warmer Arctic. *PNAS*, 108, pp.3653–3658.
- Kromkamp, J.C., Barranguet, C. & Peene, J., 1998. Determination of microphytobenthos PS II quantum efficiency and photosynthetic activity by means of variable chlorophyll fluorescence. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 162, pp.45–55.



- Kühl, M., Glud, R.N., Ploug, H. & Ramsing, N.B., 1996. Microenvironmental control of photosynthesis and photosynthesis-coupled respiration in an epilithic cyanobacterial biofilm. *J. Phycol.*, 32, pp.799-812.
- Larras, F., Montuelle, B., Rimet, F., Chèvre, N. & Bouchez, A., 2014. Seasonal shift in the sensitivity of a natural benthic microalgal community to a herbicide mixture: impact on the protective level of thresholds derived from species sensitivity distributions. *Ecotoxicology*, 23(6), pp.1109–1123.
- Lee, H.Y., 2013. Diversity and biomass of benthic diatoms in Hampyeong bay tidal flats. *Korean J. Environ. Biol.*, 31(4), pp.295–301. (in Korean)
- Lee, Y.W., 2001. Studies on pigment analysis of microphytobenthos by HPLC in sediment of Gomso Bay, Korea. MS Thesis, Pukyong National University. pp.56,69. (in Korean)
- Lee, Y.W., Choi, E.J., Kim, Y.S. & Kang, C.K., 2009. Seasonal variations of microphytobenthos in sediments of the estuarine muddy sandflat of Gwangyang Bay: HPLC Pigment Analysis. J. Korean Soc. Oceanogr., 14, pp.48–55. (in Korean)
- Longphuirt, S.N., Leynaert, A., Guarini, J.M., Chauvaud, L., Claquin, P., Herlory, O. & Ragueneau, O., 2006. Discovery of microphytobenthos migration in the subtidal zone. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 328, pp.143–154.
- Lukatelich, R.J. & McComb, A.J., 1986. Distribution and abundance of benthic microalgae in a shallow southwestern Australian estuarine system. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 27, pp.287–297.
- MacIntyre, H.L., Geider, R.J. & Miller, D.C., 1996. Microphytobenthos: The ecological role of the "secret garden" of unvegetated, shallow-water marine habitats. 1. Distribution, abundance and primary production. *Estuaries*, 19, pp.186–201.
- Maxwell, K. & Johnson, G.N., 2000. Chlorophyll fluorescence a practical guide. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 51, pp.659–668.
- Middelburg, J.J., Barranguet, C., Boscher, H.T.S., Herman, P.M.J., Moens, T. & Heip, C.H.R., 2000. The fate of intertidal microphytobenthos carbon: An in situ ¹³C-labeling



study. Limnol. Oceanogr., 45, pp.1224-1234.

- Min, W.G., Kim, D.S. & Le, J.H., 2006. Community structure and spatial variation of meiobenthos associated with an artificial structure. *J. Korean Fish. Soc.*, 39, pp.223–230. (in Korean)
- Morris, E.P. & Kromkamp, J.C., 2003. The influence of temperature on the relationship between oxygen and fluorescence-based estimates of photosynthetic parameters in a marine benthic diatom (*Cylindrotheca closterium*). *Eur. J. Phycol.*, 38, pp.133–142.
- NASA, 2012. The Fifth SeaWiFS HPLC Analysis Round-Robin Experiment (SeaHARRE-5). National Aeronautics and Space Administration. pp.12.
- Nielsen, L.P., Christensen, P.B. & Revsbech, N.P., 1990. Denitrification and photosynthesis in stream sediment studied with microsensors and whole-core techniques. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 35, pp.1135-1144.
- Noh, S.M., 2008. Effect of tidal on microalgal distribution and primary production variation in Taean coastal environment. MD thesis. Graduate School of Inha University. (in Korean)
- Nowicki, B.I. & Nixon, S.W., 1985. Benthic community metabolism in a coastal lagoon ecosystem. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 22, pp.21-30.
- Oh, S.H., 1990. *Environmental characteristics and diatom communities on the Mangyung–Dongjin Tidal flat, West coast of Korea.* MD thesis. Graduate School of Seoul University. pp.99. (in Korean)
- Oh, S.J., Moon, C.H. & Park, M.O., 2004. HPLC analysis of biomass and community composition of microphytobenthos in the Saemankeum tidal flat, west coast of *Korea. J. Kor. Fish. Soc.*, 37, pp.215–225. (in Korean)
- Paterson, D.M., 1986. The migratory behavior of diatom assemblages in a laboratory tidal micro-ecosystem examined by low temperature scanning electron microscopy. *Diatom Res.*, 1, pp.227–239.



- Paterson, D.M., 1989. Short-term changes in the erodibility of intertidal cohesive sediments related to the migratory behavior of epipelic diatoms. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 34, pp.223–234.
- Perkins, R.G., Oxborough, K., Hanlon, A.R.M., Underwood, G.J.C. & Baker, N.R., 2002. Can chlorophyll fluorescence be used to estimate the rate of photosynthetic electron transport within microphytobenthic biofilm? *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 228, pp.47–56.
- Perry, M.J., Talbot, M.C. & Alberts, S.A., 1981. Photoadaptation in marine phytoplankton: response of the photosynthetic unit. *Mar. Biol.*, 62, pp.91–101.
- Plante-Cuny, M.R. & Bodoy, A., 1987. Biomasse et production primarie du phytoplankton et du microphytobenthos de deux biotopes sableux (Golfe de Fos, France). *Oceanologica Acta*, 10, pp.223-237.
- Ploug, H., Lassen, C. & Jørgensen, B.B., 1993. Action spectra of microalgal photosynthesis and depth distribution of spectral scalar irradiance in a coastal marine sediment of Limfjorden, Denmark. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 12, pp.69–78.
- Prézelin, B.B. & Sweeney, B.M., 1978. Photoadaptation of photosynthesis in *Gonyaulax* polyedra. Mar. Biol., 48, pp.17-35.
- Rasmussen, M.N., Henriksen, K. & Jensen, A., 1983. Possible cause of temporal fluctuations in primary production of the microphytobenthos in the Danish Wadden Sea. *Mar. Biol.*, 73, pp.109-114.
- Raven, P.H., Evert, P.F. & Eichorn, S.E., 1992. *Biology of Plants.* Worth Publishers. p.791.
- Riper, D.M., Owens, T.G. & Falkowski, P.G., 1979. Chlorophyll turnover in *Skeletonema costatum*, a marine plankton diatom. *Plant Physiol.*, 64, pp.49–54.
- Round, F.E. & Palmer, J.D., 1966. Persistent, vertical-migration rhythms in benthic microflora. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK*, 46, pp.191-214.
- Serôdio, J., 2003. A chlorophyll fluorescence index to estimate short-term rates of photosynthesis by intertidal microphytobenthos. *J. phycol.*, 39, pp.33-46.



Collection @ kmou

- Serôdio, J., da Silva, J.M. & Catarino, F., 1997. Non-destructive tracing of migratory rhythms of intertidal benthic microalgae using in vivo chl-a fluorescence. *J. phycol.*, 33, pp.545–553.
- Serôdio, J., da Silva, J.M. & Catarino, F., 2001. Use of *in vivo* chlorophyll a fluorescence to quantify short-term variations in the productive biomass of intertidal microphytobenthos. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 218, pp.45–61.
- Serôdio, J., Coelho, H., Vieira, S. & Cruz, S., 2006. Microphytobenthos vertical migratory photoresponse as characterised by light-response curves of surface biomass. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 68, pp.547–556.
- Seuront, L. & Spilmont, N., 2002. Self-organized criticality in intertidal microphytobenthos patch patterns. *Physica A Stat. Mech. Appl.*, 313, pp.513-539.
- Shim, J.H. & Joe, B.C., 1984. *Community composition of microphytobenthos living in intertidal zone near Incheon.* Symposium of College of Natural science, Seoul National University. 9, pp.135–150. (in Korean)
- Shin, A.Y., Kim, D.S., Kang, T.W., Oh, J.H., Lee, J.M. & Hong, J.S., 2016. Seasonal fluctuation of meiobenthic fauna community at Keunso tidal flat in Taean, Korea. *J. Korean Soc. Oceanogr.*, 21(4), pp.144–157. (in Korean)
- Longphuirt, S.N., Leynaert, A., Guarini, J., Chauvaud, L., Claquin, P., Herlory, O., Amice, E., Huonnic, P. & Ragueneau, O. 2006. Discovery of microphytobenthos migration in the subtidal zone. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 328, pp.143–154.
- Sukenik, A., Wyman, K.D., Bennnett, J. & Falkowski, P.G., 1987. A novel mechanism for regulating the excitation of photosystem II in a green alga. *Nature, Lond.*, 327, pp.704–707.
- Sullivan, M.J. & Daiber, F.C., 1975. Light, nitrogen, and phosphorus limitation of edaphic algae in a Delaware salt marsh. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 18, pp.79–88.
- Sun, M.Y., Aller, R.C. & Lee, C., 1994. Spatial and temporal distributions of sedimentary chloropigments as indicators of benthic processes in Long Island Sound. *J. Mar. Res.*, 52, pp.149–176.



- Underwood, G.J.C. & Kromkamp, J., 1999. Primary production by phytoplankton and microphytobenthos in estuaries. *Adv. Ecol. Res.*, 29, pp.93–153.
- Varela, M. & Penas, E., 1985. Primary production of benthic microalgae in an intertidal sand flat of the Ria de Arosa, NM Spain. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 25, pp.111–119.
- Veuger, B. & van Oevelen, D., 2011. Long-term pigment dynamics and diatom survival in dark sediment. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 56, pp.1065–1074.
- Welsh, D.T., 2000. Ecological significance of compatible solute accumulation by micro-organisms: from single cells to global climate. *FEMS Microbiol, Rev.,* 24, pp.263–290.
- Wiltshire, K.H., 2000. Algae and associated pigments of intertidal sediments, new observations and methods. *Limnologica*, 30, pp.205–214.
- Woods Hole, 1997. U.S. Joint Global Ocean Flux Study, Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.
- Yoo, M.H. & Choi, J.K., 2005. Seasonal distribution and primary production of microphytobenthos on an intertidal mud flat of the Janghwa in Ganghwa Island, Korea. J. Korean Soc. Oceanogr., 10, pp.8–18. (in Korean)
- Yun, M.S., Lee, C.H. & Chung, I.K., 2009. Influence of Temperature on the Photosynthetic Responses of Benthic Diatoms: Fluorescence-Based Estimates. J. Korean Soc. Oceanogr., 14(2), pp.118-126. (in Korean)
- Zapata, M., Rodriguez, F. & Garrido, L., 2000. Separation of chlorophylls and carotenoids from marine phytoplankton: a new HPLC method using a reversed phase C⁸ column and pyridine-containing mobile phases. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 195, pp.29–45.

