

QUANTUM LENS SPACES AND GRAPH ALGEBRAS

JEONG HEE HONG AND WOJCIECH SZYMAŃSKI

We construct the C^* -algebra $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ of continuous functions on the quantum lens space as the fixed point algebra for a suitable action of \mathbb{Z}_p on the algebra $C(S_q^{2n-1})$, corresponding to the quantum odd dimensional sphere. We show that $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ is isomorphic to the graph algebra $C^*(L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)})$. This allows us to determine the ideal structure and, at least in principle, calculate the K -groups of $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$. Passing to the limit with natural imbeddings of the quantum lens spaces we construct the quantum infinite lens space, or the quantum Eilenberg-MacLane space of type $(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1)$.

0. Introduction.

Classical lens spaces $L(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)$ are defined as the orbit spaces of suitable free actions of finite cyclic groups on odd dimensional spheres (e.g., see [13]). In the present article, we define and investigate their quantum analogues. The C^* -algebras of continuous functions on the quantum lens spaces were introduced earlier by Matsumoto and Tomiyama in [18], but our construction leads to different (in general) algebras. (The very special case of the quantum 3-dimensional real projective space was investigated by Podleś [20] and Lance [17], in the context of the quantum $SO(3)$ group.) The starting point for us is the C^* -algebra $C(S_q^{2n-1})$, $q \in (0, 1)$, of continuous functions on the quantum odd dimensional sphere. If $n = 2$ then $C(S_q^3)$ is nothing but $C(SU_q(2))$ of Woronowicz [27]. The construction in higher dimensions is due to Vaksman and Soibelman [26], and from a somewhat different perspective to Nagy [19]. (See also the closely related construction of representations of the twisted canonical commutation relations due to Pusz and Woronowicz [21].) We define the C^* -algebra $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ of continuous functions on the quantum lens space as the fixed point algebra for a suitable action of the finite cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_p on $C(S_q^{2n-1})$. This definition depends on the deformation parameter $q \in (0, 1)$, as well as on positive integers $p \geq 2$ and m_1, \dots, m_n . We normally assume that each of m_1, \dots, m_n is relatively prime to p . On the classical level, this guarantees freeness of the action. In the special case $p = 2$, $m_1 = \dots = m_n = 1$ we recover

quantum odd dimensional real projective spaces, defined and investigated in our earlier article [11].

The key technical result on which this article depends is Theorem 4.4 of [11], which gives an explicit isomorphism between $C(S_q^{2n-1})$ and the C^* -algebra $C^*(L_{2n-1})$ corresponding to the directed graph L_{2n-1} . Thus, $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ is isomorphic to the fixed point algebra $C^*(L_{2n-1})^\Lambda$, corresponding to a suitable action $\Lambda : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(L_{2n-1}))$. This allows us to employ in our investigations of the quantum lens spaces the huge and comprehensive machinery developed for dealing with Cuntz-Krieger algebras of directed graphs (cf. [6, 5, 16, 12, 15, 14, 2, 9, 24, 10, 22, 25, 1] and references there).

In order to understand the fixed point algebra $C^*(L_{2n-1})^\Lambda$ we first look at the crossed product $C^*(L_{2n-1}) \rtimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_p$. By virtue of the results of [14] this crossed product itself is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra of the skew product graph $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$, corresponding to a suitable labelling c of the edges of L_{2n-1} by elements of \mathbb{Z}_p . The action Λ is saturated and, hence, $C^*(L_{2n-1})^\Lambda$ is isomorphic to a full corner of $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$. This allows us, at least in principle, to calculate the K -groups of $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$.

Our main result, Theorem 2.5, shows that $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ itself is isomorphic to the graph algebra $C^*(L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)})$, corresponding to a finite graph $L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}$. As a corollary, we easily deduce the ideal structure of $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$. We believe that on the basis of Theorem 2.5 one should be able to determine isomorphisms between the C^* -algebras of continuous functions on the quantum lens spaces, but this work is not carried in the present article.

1. Preliminaries.

1.1. Definition. We recall the definition of the C^* -algebra corresponding to a directed graph [9]. Let $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be a directed graph with (at most) countably many vertices E^0 and edges E^1 , and range and source functions $r, s : E^1 \rightarrow E^0$, respectively. $C^*(E)$ is, by definition, the universal C^* -algebra generated by families of projections $\{P_v \mid v \in E^0\}$ and partial isometries $\{S_e \mid e \in E^1\}$, subject to the following relations:

- (G1) $P_v P_w = 0$ for $v, w \in E^0$, $v \neq w$.
- (G2) $S_e^* S_f = 0$ for $e, f \in E^1$, $e \neq f$.
- (G3) $S_e^* S_e = P_{r(e)}$ for $e \in E^1$.
- (G4) $S_e S_e^* \leq P_{s(e)}$ for $e \in E^1$.
- (G5) $P_v = \sum_{e \in E^1: s(e)=v} S_e S_e^*$ for $v \in E^0$, provided $\{e \in E^1 \mid s(e) = v\}$ is finite and nonempty.

Universality in this definition means that if $\{Q_v \mid v \in E^0\}$ and $\{T_e \mid e \in E^1\}$ are families of projections and partial isometries, respectively, satisfying Conditions (G1)–(G5), then there exists a C^* -algebra homomorphism from $C^*(E)$ to the C^* -algebra generated by $\{Q_v \mid v \in E^0\}$ and $\{T_e \mid e \in E^1\}$ such that $P_v \mapsto Q_v$ and $S_e \mapsto T_e$ for $v \in E^0, e \in E^1$.

It follows from the universal property that there exists the gauge action $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(E))$ such that $\gamma_t(P_v) = P_v$ and $\gamma_t(S_e) = tS_e$, for all $v \in E^0, e \in E^1, t \in \mathbb{T}$.

1.2. K-theory. The K -theory of a graph algebra $C^*(E)$ can be calculated as follows: Let V_E be the collection of all those vertices which are not sinks and emit finitely many edges, and let $\mathbb{Z}V_E$ and $\mathbb{Z}E^0$ be free abelian groups on free generators V_E and E^0 , respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(C^*(E)) &\cong \text{coker}(K_E), \\ K_1(C^*(E)) &\cong \text{ker}(K_E), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_E : \mathbb{Z}V_E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}E^0$ is the map defined on generators as

$$K_E(v) = \left(\sum_{e \in E^1: s(e)=v} r(e) \right) - v.$$

(See [5, Proposition 3.1], [16, Corollary 6.12], [22, Theorem 3.2], [24, Proposition 2] and [7, Theorem 3.1].)

1.3. Ideals. We assume that E is a row-finite graph (i.e., each vertex of E emits only finitely many edges) without sinks, since this is all we need in the present article. At first we describe closed 2-sided ideals of $C^*(E)$ invariant under the gauge action, as well as the corresponding quotients [5, 12, 16, 2, 1, 10]. To this end we consider hereditary and saturated subsets of E^0 . A subset $X \subseteq E^0$ is hereditary and saturated if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (HS1) If $v \in X, w \in E^0$ and there exists a path from v to w then $w \in X$.
- (HS2) If $v \in E^0$ and for each $e \in E^1$ with $s(e) = v$ we have $r(e) \in X$, then $v \in X$.

We denote by Σ_E the collection of all hereditary and saturated subsets of E^0 . Any hereditary and saturated set X gives rise to a gauge invariant ideal generated by $\{P_v \mid v \in X\}$ and denoted J_X . The quotient $C^*(E)/J_X$ is naturally isomorphic to $C^*(E/X)$, where E/X denotes the restriction of the graph E to $E^0 \setminus X$. There exists a bijection between Σ_E and the collection of all gauge invariant ideals of $C^*(E)$, given by the following two maps:

$$X \mapsto J_X, \quad J \mapsto \{v \in E^0 \mid P_v \in J\}.$$

We now turn to the description of primitive ideals of the graph algebra $C^*(E)$ corresponding to a row-finite graph E with no sinks [12, 16, 2, 1, 10].

Key objects used in the classification of primitive ideals of graph algebras are maximal tails, defined as follows: A nonempty subset $M \subseteq E^0$ is called a maximal tail if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (MT1) If $v \in E^0$, $w \in M$ and there is a path in E from v to w then $v \in M$.
- (MT2) If $v \in M$ then there exists an edge $e \in E^1$ such that $s(e) = v$ and $r(e) \in M$.
- (MT3) For any $v, w \in M$ there is a $y \in M$ such that there exist paths in E from v to y and from w to y .

The collection $\mathcal{M}(E)$ of all maximal tails is a disjoint union of its two subcollections $\mathcal{M}_\gamma(E)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\tau(E)$, defined as follows: A maximal tail M belongs to $\mathcal{M}_\gamma(E)$ if and only if every vertex simple loop (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k) (where $e_i \in E^1$, $r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$, $r(e_k) = s(e_1)$ and $r(e_i) \neq r(e_j)$ for $i \neq j$) whose all vertices $s(e_i)$ belong to M has an exit $e \in E^1$ (that is, $s(e) \in \{s(e_1), \dots, s(e_k)\}$ but $e \notin \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$) with $r(e) \in M$. Otherwise M belongs to $\mathcal{M}_\tau(E)$. It can be shown that each maximal tail from $\mathcal{M}_\gamma(E)$ gives rise to a primitive ideal of $C^*(E)$ invariant under the gauge action, and each maximal tail from $\mathcal{M}_\tau(E)$ gives rise to a circle of primitive ideals none of which is invariant under the gauge action. Let $\text{Prim}(C^*(E))$ denote the set of all primitive ideals of $C^*(E)$. If E is a finite graph with no sinks then there exists a bijection

$$\mathcal{M}_\gamma(E) \cup (\mathcal{M}_\tau(E) \times \mathbb{T}) \leftrightarrow \text{Prim}(C^*(E)).$$

A complete description of the closure operation in the hull-kernel topology is also available. See [12, 16, 2, 1, 10] for the details.

We finish this section with the following lemma, which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 2.5. Recall that a closed 2-sided ideal J of a C^* -algebra A is essential if and only if for each nonzero element a of A we have $aJ \neq \{0\}$.

Lemma 1.1. *If E is a row-finite graph and $X \neq \emptyset$ is a hereditary and saturated subset of E^0 then J_X is an essential ideal of $C^*(E)$ if and only if for each vertex $v \in E^0 \setminus X$ there exists a path in E from v to a vertex in X .*


Proof. Suppose that for each vertex $v \in E^0 \setminus X$ there exists a path in E from v to a vertex in X . With the gauge action $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C^*(E))$, the formula $\Gamma(b) = \int_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \gamma_t(b) dt$ (the integration with respect to the normalized Haar measure) defines a faithful conditional expectation from $C^*(E)$ onto the fixed point algebra $C^*(E)^\gamma$. Let $a \neq 0$ be an element of $C^*(E)$ and let J' be the closed 2-sided ideal of $C^*(E)$ generated by $\Gamma(a^*a)$. Since J' is a nonzero gauge invariant ideal there exists a vertex $v \in E^0$ such that $P_v \in J'$ (cf. [2, Theorem 4.1]). If α is a path from v to a vertex in X then $S_\alpha \in J_X$ and $P_v S_\alpha \neq 0$. Consequently, $\{0\} \neq \Gamma(a^*a)J_X = (\int_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \gamma_t(a^*a) dt) J_X$. Thus, there exists a $t \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\gamma_t(a^*a)J_X \neq \{0\}$. Since $\gamma_t(J_X) = J_X$ this

implies $aJ_X \neq \{0\}$. Therefore, the ideal J_X is essential, as required. The converse implication is trivial. \square

1.4. Quantum odd dimensional spheres. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $q \in (0, 1)$ the C^* -algebra $C(S_q^{2n-1})$ of continuous functions on the quantum sphere S^{2n-1} is given in [26] as the universal C^* -algebra generated by elements z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n , subject to the following relations:

- (1) $z_j z_i = q z_i z_j$ for $i < j$,
- (2) $z_j^* z_i = q z_i z_j^*$ for $i \neq j$,
- (3) $z_i^* z_i = z_i z_i^* + (1 - q^2) \sum_{j>i} z_j z_j^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$,
- (4) $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i^* = I$.

It is shown in [11, Theorem 4.4] that the C^* -algebra $C(S_q^{2n-1})$ is isomorphic with a graph algebra $C^*(L_{2n-1})$. The graph L_{2n-1} has n vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $n(n + 1)/2$ edges $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{e_{i,j} \mid j = i, \dots, n\}$ with $s(e_{i,j}) = v_i$ and $r(e_{i,j}) = v_j$. It is a finite graph without sinks. For example, if $n = 3$ then the corresponding graph L_5 looks as follows:



The isomorphism $\phi : C(S_q^{2n-1}) \rightarrow C^*(L_{2n-1})$ is given explicitly on the generators as

$$(5) \quad \phi : z_n \mapsto \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}} q^{k_1 + \dots + k_{n-1}} T(k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}) S_{e_{n,n}} T(k_1, \dots, k_{n-1})^*,$$

$$(6) \quad \phi : z_i \mapsto \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_i \in \mathbb{N}} q^{k_1 + \dots + k_{i-1}} \left(\sqrt{1 - q^{2(k_i+1)}} - \sqrt{1 - q^{2k_i}} \right) \times \\ \times T(k_1, \dots, k_i) \left(\sum_{j=i}^n S_{e_{i,j}} \right) T(k_1, \dots, k_i)^*,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Here for $k_1, \dots, k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ we denoted

$$(7) \quad T(k_1, \dots, k_i) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n S_{e_{1,j}} \right)^{k_1} \left(\sum_{j=2}^n S_{e_{2,j}} \right)^{k_2} \dots \left(\sum_{j=i}^n S_{e_{i,j}} \right)^{k_i},$$

an element of $C^*(L_{2n-1})$.

2. Quantum lens spaces.

We begin by recalling the definition of the classical lens spaces [13]. Namely, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ let $S^{2n-1} = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^2 = 1\}$ be the sphere of dimension $2n - 1$. We fix an integer $p \geq 2$ and n integers m_1, \dots, m_n . If $\theta = e^{2\pi i/p}$ then

$$(8) \quad (y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto (\theta^{m_1} y_1, \dots, \theta^{m_n} y_n)$$

is a homeomorphism of S^{2n-1} which gives rise to an action of \mathbb{Z}_p , the cyclic group of order p , on S^{2n-1} . The (generalized) lens space $L(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)$ of dimension $2n - 1$ is defined as the orbit space of this action. It is normally assumed that each of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n is relatively prime to p . This assumption is equivalent to freeness of the action (8).

We now turn to the quantum case. With the sole exception of Lemma 2.1, we always assume that each of m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n is relatively prime to p . The universal property of $C(S_q^{2n-1})$ implies that the assignment

$$(9) \quad \tilde{\Lambda} : z_i \mapsto \theta^{m_i} z_i,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, gives rise to an automorphism $\tilde{\Lambda}$ of $C(S_q^{2n-1})$ of order p . For $q \in (0, 1)$ we define the C^* -algebra $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ of continuous functions on the quantum lens space as the fixed point algebra corresponding to this automorphism, i.e.,

$$(10) \quad C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)) = C(S_q^{2n-1})^{\tilde{\Lambda}}.$$

Let $\phi : C(S_q^{2n-1}) \rightarrow C^*(L_{2n-1})$ be the isomorphism given by (5)-(6). Setting $\Lambda = \phi \tilde{\Lambda} \phi^{-1}$ we get


$$(11) \quad \Lambda : P_{v_i} \mapsto P_{v_i},$$

$$(12) \quad \Lambda : S_{e_{i,j}} \mapsto \theta^{m_i} S_{e_{i,j}},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = i, \dots, n$. This gives

$$(13) \quad C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)) = C(S_q^{2n-1})^{\tilde{\Lambda}} \cong C^*(L_{2n-1})^\Lambda.$$

Actions of this type have been studied by Kumjian and Pask [14]. Let $c : L_{2n-1}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a labeling of the edges of L_{2n-1} such that $c(S_{e_{i,j}}) = m_i$. The skew product graph $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ is defined so that its vertices are $L_{2n-1}^0 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and its edges are $L_{2n-1}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $s(e_{i,j}, m) = (v_i, m - m_i)$ and $r(e_{i,j}, m) = (v_j, m)$, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = i, \dots, n$. We note that through each vertex of this graph passes precisely one vertex simple loop (composed of p edges), and for any two vertices $(v_i, m), (v_j, k)$ there exists a path from (v_i, m) to (v_j, k) if and only if $i \leq j$. For example, if $n = 2$, $p = 3$, $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = 2$ then $L_3 \times_c \mathbb{Z}_3$ looks as follows:



By virtue of [14, Corollary 2.5] there exists a C^* -algebra isomorphism

$$(14) \quad C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong C^*(L_{2n-1}) \times_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Let U be a unitary in $C^*(L_{2n-1}) \times_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $U^p = I$ and $UxU^* = \Lambda(x)$ for all $x \in C^*(L_{2n-1})$. For $m = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ let $Q_m = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \theta^{im} U^i$ be the spectral projection of U . The isomorphism (14) is given explicitly by

$$(15) \quad P_{(v_i, m)} \mapsto P_{v_i} Q_m,$$

$$(16) \quad S_{(e_{i,j}, m)} \mapsto S_{e_{i,j}} Q_m,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n, j = i, \dots, n$ and $m = 0, \dots, p - 1$.

We have

$$(17) \quad Q_0(C^*(L_{2n-1}) \times_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}_p)Q_0 = C^*(L_{2n-1})^\Lambda Q_0,$$

and the map $C^*(L_{2n-1})^\Lambda \rightarrow C^*(L_{2n-1})^\Lambda Q_0, x \mapsto xQ_0$, is a C^* -algebra isomorphism. On the other hand, the isomorphism (14) (cf. Formulae (15) and (16)) identifies $Q_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n P_{v_i} Q_0$ with the projection $\sum_{i=1}^n P_{(v_i, 0)}$ in $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Consequently, there is a C^* -algebra isomorphism

$$(18) \quad C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)) \cong \left(\sum_{i=1}^n P_{(v_i, 0)} \right) C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n P_{(v_i, 0)} \right).$$

In the following lemma we only require that m_1 be relatively prime to p and no assumptions on the remaining parameters m_2, \dots, m_n are made whatever. The lemma says that if m_1 is relatively prime to p then the action Λ is saturated, as expected.

Lemma 2.1. *If m_1 is relatively prime to p then for each vertex (v_k, m) there exists a path in $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ from $(v_1, 0)$ to (v_k, m) . Thus, Formula (18) gives an isomorphism between the C^* -algebra $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ and a full corner of $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

Proof. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and let r be a positive integer such that $rm_1 = m$ in \mathbb{Z}_p . Then

$$((e_{1,1}, m_1), (e_{1,1}, 2m_1), \dots, (e_{1,1}, (r-2)m_1), (e_{1,1}, (r-1)m_1), (e_{1,k}, rm_1))$$

is the desired path. Consequently,

$$S_{(e_{1,1}, m_1)} S_{(e_{1,1}, 2m_1)} \cdots S_{(e_{1,1}, (r-2)m_1)} S_{(e_{1,1}, (r-1)m_1)} S_{(e_{1,k}, rm_1)}$$

is a partial isometry in $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$ whose domain projection equals $P_{(v_k, m)}$ and whose range projection is majorized by $P_{(v_1, 0)}$. Thus all projections $P_{(v_k, m)}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, belong to the ideal of $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$ generated by $\sum_{i=1}^n P_{(v_i, 0)}$. Since $I = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_p} P_{(v_k, m)}$ in $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$, Formula (18) implies that the C^* -algebra $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ is isomorphic to a full corner of $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$, as claimed. \square

Lemma 2.1 implies that $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ and $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$ are strongly Morita equivalent [23, Chapter 3]. Consequently, the K -groups of these two C^* -algebras are isomorphic [4, 8]. In order to calculate the K -groups of $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$ we assume that each of m_1, \dots, m_n is relatively prime to p . For short, we write Φ for the map $K_{L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p}$ which determines these K -groups (cf. Section 1.2). Thus, the K_0 and K_1 groups of $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$ are isomorphic to the cokernel and kernel, respectively, of the endomorphism Φ of the free abelian group with a basis $(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)^0$, given by

$$(19) \quad \Phi : (v_i, m) \mapsto \left(\sum_{j=i}^n (v_j, m + m_i) \right) - (v_i, m).$$

Proposition 2.2. *If each of m_1, \dots, m_n is relatively prime to p then*

$$K_1(C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 it suffices to calculate the K_1 -group of $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$, which is isomorphic to the kernel of the map Φ from (19). Let $\lambda_i^m \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, be such that $\Phi(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \lambda_i^m (v_i, m)) = 0$. This can only happen if $\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j^{m-m_j} = \lambda_i^m$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Setting $i = 1$, we get $\lambda_1^m = \lambda_1^0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, because m_1 is relatively prime to p . Then, considering $i = 2$, we get $\lambda_1^0 + \lambda_2^{m-m_2} = \lambda_2^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Summing this identity over m we see that $\lambda_1^0 = 0$. Consequently, $\lambda_2^m = \lambda_2^0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Again, we use here the fact that m_2 is relatively prime to p . Continuing inductively in this manner we get $\lambda_i^m = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\lambda_n^m = \lambda_n^0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus, the kernel of Φ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , as claimed. \square

It is also possible to calculate the cokernel of the map Φ and, therefore, the K_0 group of $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$. This is a simple matter if $n = 2$, and we get

$$K_0(C(L_q(p; m_1, m_2))) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

similarly to the result of Matsumoto and Tomiyama [18]. However, if $n \geq 3$ then the calculation becomes a bit more elaborate. We illustrate with a particular case.

Proposition 2.3. *If $n = 3$, $m_2 = m_3$ and both m_1 and m_2 are relatively prime to p then*

$$K_0(C(L_q(p; m_1, m_2, m_3))) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ is even,} \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We must determine the cokernel of Φ . It is easy to see that $\{(v_i, 0) \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$ together with the range of Φ generate the entire group $\mathbb{Z}(L_5 \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)^0$. Now let $d_i, \lambda_i^m \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, be such that $\Phi(\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \lambda_i^m(v_i, m)) = \sum_{i=1}^3 d_i(v_i, 0)$. This is equivalent to

$$(20) \quad d_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j^{-m_j} \right) - \lambda_i^0,$$


$$(21) \quad 0 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j^{m-m_j} \right) - \lambda_i^m, \quad \text{for } m \neq 0.$$

If $i = 1$ then (21) gives $\lambda_1^m = \lambda_1^0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and then $d_1 = 0$ by (20). If $i = 2$ then substituting $m = km_2$ in (21), with $k = 1, \dots, p-1$, we get $\lambda_2^{km_2} = k\lambda_1^0 + \lambda_2^0$ for all $k = 0, \dots, p-1$. Then (20) yields $d_2 = p\lambda_1^0$. If $i = 3$ then substituting $m = km_2$ in (21), with $k = 1, \dots, p-1$, we get $\lambda_3^{km_2} = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}\lambda_1^0 + k\lambda_2^0 + \lambda_3^0$ for all $k = 0, \dots, p-1$. Then (20) yields $d_3 = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}\lambda_1^0 + p\lambda_2^0$. Thus, $(v_1, 0)$ has infinite order in the cokernel. If p is even then $(v_2, 0)$ and $2(v_2, 0) + (v_3, 0)$ generate a subgroup of the cokernel isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{p}{2}}$. If p is odd then $(v_2, 0)$ and $(v_3, 0)$ generate a subgroup of the cokernel isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$. \square

We now show that $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ itself is isomorphic to a graph algebra. The following construction of the graph $L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}$ and the argument of Theorem 2.5, below, are similar to [25, Section 4 and Lemma 6]. Again, we assume that each of m_1, \dots, m_n is relatively prime to p .

At first we define the graph $L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}$, as follows: The vertices of the graph $L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}$ are $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. The edges of $L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}$ consist of all finite (vertex simple) paths $\alpha = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r))$ in $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $k_1 = m_{i_1}$, $k_a \neq 0$ for $a \neq r$, $k_r = 0$ and $(v_{j_a}, k_a) \neq (v_{j_b}, k_b)$ for

$a \neq b$. The source and range functions are defined as $s(\alpha) = v_{i_1}$ and $r(\alpha) = v_{j_r}$. We note that this is a finite graph without sinks, through each vertex there passes precisely one vertex simple loop (composed of a single edge), and for each pair of vertices v_i, v_j there exists a path from v_i to v_j if and only if $i \leq j$. For example, if $n = 2, p = 3, m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = 2$ then $L_3^{(3;1,2)}$ looks as follows:



The following Lemma 2.4 essentially follows from [25, Lemma 5]. However, for the sake of completeness and reader's convenience, we give a self-contained proof.

Lemma 2.4. *If each of m_1, \dots, m_n is relatively prime to p then for any $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have*

$$P_{(v_l, m)} = \sum_{\alpha} S_{(e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1)} \cdots S_{(e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r)} S_{(e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r)}^* \cdots S_{(e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1)}^*$$

(in $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$), where the summation extends over all $\alpha = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r))$, vertex simple paths in $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $i_1 = l, k_1 - m_{i_1} = m, k_a \neq 0$ for $a \neq r, k_r = 0$ and $(v_{j_a}, k_a) \neq (v_{j_b}, k_b)$ for $a \neq b$.

Proof. For $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ we define A_{ν} to be the collection of all vertex simple paths $\alpha = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r))$ in $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that the length of α is not greater than ν (and nonzero), $i_1 = l, k_1 - m_{i_1} = m, k_a \neq 0$ for $a \neq r, k_r = 0$ and $(v_{j_a}, k_a) \neq (v_{j_b}, k_b)$ for $a \neq b$, and let B_{ν} be the collection of all paths $\beta = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r))$ such that the length of β equals $\nu, i_1 = l, k_1 - m_{i_1} = m, k_a \neq 0$ for $a = 1, \dots, r$ and $(v_{j_a}, k_a) \neq (v_{j_b}, k_b)$ for $a \neq b$. We show, by induction on ν , that

$$(22) \quad P_{(v_l, m)} = \sum_{\alpha \in A_{\nu}} S_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^* + \sum_{\beta \in B_{\nu}} S_{\beta} S_{\beta}^*.$$

Indeed, the collection of all edges in $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ with source equal to (v_l, m) is the union of A_1 and B_1 . Thus, (22) holds with $\nu = 1$ by virtue of (G5). Now suppose (22) holds for some ν . If $\beta = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r))$ in B_{ν} , then applying Condition (G5) at the range vertex of β , equal to (v_{j_r}, k_r) , we get

$$(23) \quad S_{\beta} S_{\beta}^* = S_{\beta} P_{(v_{j_r}, k_r)} S_{\beta}^* = \sum_{d=j_r}^n S_{\beta} S_{(e_{j_r, d}, k_r + m_{j_r})} S_{(e_{j_r, d}, k_r + m_{j_r})}^* S_{\beta}^*.$$

Let $\beta' = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r), (e_{j_r, d}, k_r + m_{j_r}))$. We claim that $(v_d, k_r + m_{j_r}) \neq (v_{j_a}, k_a)$ for $a = 1, \dots, r$. This is obvious if $d \neq j_r$. For $d = j_r$ let b be the smallest index such that $j_b = j_r$. Since β is a path we have $j_b = j_{b+1} = \dots = j_r$ and $k_{b+h} = k_b + hm_{j_b}$ for $h = 1, \dots, r - b$. Since m_{j_b} is relatively prime to p it follows that $k_r + m_{j_r} \notin \{k_b, \dots, k_r\}$, as claimed. Thus $\beta' \in (A_{\nu+1} \setminus A_\nu) \cup B_{\nu+1}$. Consequently, from the inductive hypothesis, Formula (23) and the above discussion we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(v_l, m)} &= \sum_{\alpha \in A_\nu} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* + \sum_{\beta \in B_\nu} S_\beta S_\beta^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A_\nu} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* + \sum_{\beta' \in (A_{\nu+1} \setminus A_\nu)} S_{\beta'} S_{\beta'}^* + \sum_{\beta' \in B_{\nu+1}} S_{\beta'} S_{\beta'}^* \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A_{\nu+1}} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* + \sum_{\beta \in B_{\nu+1}} S_\beta S_\beta^*, \end{aligned}$$

and the inductive step follows.

Since $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a finite graph there exists a ν large enough so that $B_\nu = \emptyset$. With this ν Formula (22) gives the lemma. \square

Theorem 2.5. *If each of the numbers m_1, \dots, m_n is relatively prime to p then the C^* -algebra $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ is isomorphic to $C^*\left(L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}\right)$.*

Proof. At first we observe that there exists a C^* -algebra homomorphism

$$\psi : C^*\left(L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}\right) \rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=1}^n P_{(v_i, 0)}\right) C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n P_{(v_i, 0)}\right)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : P_{v_l} &\mapsto P_{(v_l, 0)}, \\ \psi : S_\alpha &\mapsto S_{(e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1)} S_{(e_{i_2, j_2}, k_2)} \cdots S_{(e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $l = 1, \dots, n$ and for all $\alpha = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r))$, vertex simple paths in $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $k_1 = m_{i_1}$, $k_a \neq 0$ for $a \neq r$, $k_r = 0$ and $(v_{j_a}, k_a) \neq (v_{j_b}, k_b)$ for $a \neq b$. Due to the universal property of $C^*\left(L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}\right)$, to this end it suffices to verify that the elements $\{\psi(P_{v_l}), \psi(S_\alpha)\}$ of $C^*(L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p)$ satisfy Conditions (G1)–(G5) for the graph $L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}$. But it is obvious that Conditions (G1)–(G4) are satisfied, and Condition (G5) is equivalent to Lemma 2.4 with $m = 0$.

For surjectivity of ψ it suffices to show that:

- (i) If α is a path in $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that both $s(\alpha)$ and $r(\alpha)$ are in $\{(v_i, 0) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ then S_α belongs to the range of ψ .
- (ii) If α, β are two paths such that $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$ and both $s(\alpha)$ and $s(\beta)$ are in $\{(v_i, 0) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ then $S_\alpha S_\beta^*$ belongs to the range of ψ .

To this end we first note that any loop in $L_{2n-1} \times_c \mathbb{Z}_p$ must pass through a vertex of the form $(v_i, 0)$. Now let $\alpha = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r))$ be a path as in (i). Let $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_\nu = r$ be all the indices for which $k_{a_t} = 0$. We also set $a_0 = 0$. For each $t = 1, \dots, \nu$ the path $\alpha_t = ((e_{i_{1+a_t-1}, j_{1+a_t-1}}, k_{1+a_t-1}), \dots, (e_{i_{a_t}, j_{a_t}}, k_{a_t}))$ is an edge of the graph $L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}$. Hence, $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha_1} \dots S_{\alpha_\nu}$ belongs to the range of ψ , since each S_{α_t} does. Now let α and β be two paths as in (ii). Let $\alpha = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r))$. By virtue of Part (i) it suffices to consider the case $k_r \neq 0$. Let μ be the greatest index such that $k_\mu = 0$, or 0 if such an index does not exist. We set $\alpha_1 = ((e_{i_1, j_1}, k_1), \dots, (e_{i_\mu, j_\mu}, k_\mu))$ and $\alpha_2 = ((e_{i_{\mu+1}, j_{\mu+1}}, k_{\mu+1}), \dots, (e_{i_r, j_r}, k_r))$. We have $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha_1} S_{\alpha_2}$ and S_{α_1} is in the range of ψ by Part (i) (if $\mu = 0$ then $\alpha_1 = \emptyset$ and $S_{\alpha_1} = I$). Furthermore, for $\mu + 1 \leq a, b \leq r$ we have $(v_{j_a}, k_a) \neq (v_{j_b}, k_b)$ if $a \neq b$. We have an analogous factorization $S_\beta = S_{\beta_1} S_{\beta_2}$, with S_{β_1} in the range of ψ . Let $P_{(v_{j_r}, k_r)} = \sum_x S_x S_x^*$ be the decomposition as in Lemma 2.4. Then we have

$$S_\alpha S_\beta = S_{\alpha_1} S_{\alpha_2} P_{(v_{j_r}, k_r)} S_{\beta_2}^* S_{\beta_1}^* = \sum_x S_{\alpha_1} S_{\alpha_2} S_x S_x^* S_{\beta_2}^* S_{\beta_1}^*.$$

Consequently, $S_\alpha S_\beta$ belongs to the range of ψ , since S_{α_1} , S_{β_1} and all $S_{\alpha_2} S_x$ and $S_{\beta_2} S_x$ do. This completes the proof of surjectivity of ψ .

Now we show that the homomorphism ψ is injective. Our argument is essentially the same as in [5, Remark 3]. Since for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ there exists a path from v_i to v_n , the ideal J of $C^*(L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)})$ generated by P_{v_n} is essential by Lemma 1.1. Thus, it suffices to show that $J \cap \ker(\psi) = \{0\}$. To this end, we notice that in the graph $L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)}$ the vertex v_n emits a unique edge, which we call e , and the range of this edge is v_n . Since there are infinitely many paths from other vertices to v_n it follows (cf. [15] and [5, Remark 3]) that

$$J \cong P_{v_n} J P_{v_n} \otimes \mathcal{K} = C^*(S_e) \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

Hence, in order to prove injectivity of ψ it suffices to show that $C^*(S_e) \cap \ker(\psi) = \{0\}$. This follows from the fact that

$$\psi(S_e) = S_{(e_{n,n}, m_n)} S_{(e_{n,n}, 2m_n)} \dots S_{(e_{n,n}, pm_n)}$$

is a partial unitary with full spectrum (cf. [15]). □

With help of Theorem 2.5 it is easy to determine the ideal structure of $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$. For example, we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.5 that the ideal of $C^*(L_{2n-1}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)})$ generated by P_{v_n} is isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{K}$. The corresponding quotient is $C^*(L_{2n-3}^{(p; m_1, \dots, m_{n-1})})$, and this C^* -algebra is in turn isomorphic to $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}))$. Thus, there

exists an exact sequence

$$(24) \quad 0 \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)) \rightarrow C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_{n-1})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using the exact sequence (24) or the general results about graph algebras, outlined in Section 1.3, it is easy to understand the primitive spectrum of $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$. Therefore, we omit the proof of the following proposition:

Proposition 2.6. *If each of m_1, \dots, m_n is relatively prime to p then the primitive ideal space of $C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n))$ consists of n disjoint copies C_1, \dots, C_n of the circle. The hull-kernel topology restricted to each of the circles coincides with the natural one. The closure of a point in C_k contains $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{k-1}$. Thus, $\text{Prim}(C(L_q(p; m_1, \dots, m_n)))$ and $\text{Prim}(C(S_q^{2n-1}))$ are homeomorphic (cf. [11, Section 4.1]).*

Concluding remarks. For a fixed integer $p \geq 2$ the infinite lens space $L(p; \infty)$ is defined as the inductive limit of the lens spaces $L(p; 1_n)$, corresponding to the natural imbeddings $L(p; 1_n) \hookrightarrow L(p; 1_{n+1})$. (If $m_1 = \dots = m_n = 1$ then we simply write $L(p; 1_n)$ instead of $L(p; 1, \dots, 1)$.) It turns out that $L(p; \infty)$ is identical with the Eilenberg-MacLane space of type $(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1)$ [3].

The results of the previous section lead to quantum versions of this classical topological setting. Namely, the inclusion $L(p; 1_n) \hookrightarrow L(p; 1_{n+1})$ corresponds to the surjective homomorphism $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1} : C(L_q(p; 1_{n+1})) \rightarrow C(L_q(p; 1_n))$ such that the kernel of $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}$ is generated by $z_{n+1}z_{n+1}^*$. Consequently, the quantum infinite lens space, or the quantum Eilenberg-MacLane space of type $(\mathbb{Z}_p, 1)$, may be defined as the inverse limit

$$(25) \quad C(L_q(p; \infty)) = \varprojlim (C(L_q(p; 1_n)), \tilde{\theta}_n).$$

Under the isomorphisms $C(L_q(p; 1_k)) \cong C^* \left(L_{2k-1}^{(p)} \right)$ (if $m_1 = \dots = m_n = 1$ then we simply write $L_{2n-1}^{(p)}$ instead of $L_{2n-1}^{(p; 1, \dots, 1)}$), described in Theorem 2.5, the homomorphism $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1}$ is carried onto a surjective C^* -algebra homomorphism $\theta_{n+1} : C^* \left(L_{2n+1}^{(p)} \right) \rightarrow C^* \left(L_{2n-1}^{(p)} \right)$, whose kernel is generated by the projection $P_{v_{n+1}}$. Thus, we have the C^* -algebra isomorphism

$$(26) \quad C(L_q(p; \infty)) \cong \varprojlim \left(C^* \left(L_{2n-1}^{(p)} \right), \theta_n \right).$$

It is not difficult to see, and we omit the details, that this inverse limit itself may be realized as the graph algebra $C^* \left(L_\infty^{(p)} \right)$. The graph $L_\infty^{(p)}$ is the increasing limit of the graphs $L_{2n-1}^{(p)}$, corresponding to the natural imbeddings $L_{2n-1}^{(p)} \hookrightarrow L_{2n+1}^{(p)}$ such that the v_i vertex in $L_{2n-1}^{(p)}$ is identified with

the v_i vertex in $L_{2n+1}^{(p)}$, and the edges from v_i to v_j in $L_{2n-1}^{(p)}$ are bijectively identified with the edges from v_i to v_j in $L_{2n+1}^{(p)}$. The graph $L_\infty^{(p)}$ has infinitely many vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$, and for each pair $i \leq j$ there exists at least one edge from v_i to v_j . These two properties imply that $C^*(L_\infty^{(p)})$ is a primitive, purely infinite C^* -algebra (but not simple) [1]. Furthermore, $K_0(C^*(L_\infty^{(p)})) \cong \bigoplus^\infty \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(C^*(L_\infty^{(p)})) = 0$ [22, 7].

References

- [1] T. Bates, J.H. Hong, I. Raeburn and W. Szymański, *The ideal structure of the C^* -algebras of infinite graphs*, Illinois J. Math., **46** (2002), 1159–1176.
- [2] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and W. Szymański, *The C^* -algebras of row-finite graphs*, New York J. Math., **6** (2000), 307–324, MR 2001k:46084, Zbl 0976.46041.
- [3] R. Bott and L.W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Graduate Texts in Math., **82**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982, MR 83i:57016, Zbl 0496.55001.
- [4] L.G. Brown, *Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **71** (1977), 335–348, MR 56 #12894, Zbl 0362.46042.
- [5] J. Cuntz, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains II: Reducible chains and the Ext-functor for C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math., **63** (1981), 25–40, MR 82f:46073b, Zbl 0461.46047.
- [6] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math., **56** (1980), 251–268, MR 82f:46073a, Zbl 0434.46045.
- [7] D. Drinen and M. Tomforde, *Computing K -theory and Ext for graph C^* -algebras*, Illinois J. Math., **46**(1) (2002), 81–91, MR 1 936 076.
- [8] R. Exel, *A Fredholm operator approach to Morita equivalence*, *K-Theory*, **7** (1993), 285–308, MR 94h:46107, Zbl 0792.46051.
- [9] N.J. Fowler, M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *The C^* -algebras of infinite graphs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **128** (2000), 2319–2327, MR 2000k:46079, Zbl 0956.46035.
- [10] J.H. Hong, *The ideal structure of graph algebras*, in ‘Proceedings of the USA-Japan Seminar on Operator Algebras and Applications’ (Fukuoka, 1999), to appear.
- [11] J.H. Hong and W. Szymański, *Quantum spheres and projective spaces as graph algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys., **232** (2002), 157–188, MR 1 942 860.
- [12] A. an Huef and I. Raeburn, *The ideal structure of Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **17** (1997), 611–624, MR 98k:46098, Zbl 0886.46061.
- [13] M. Karoubi, *K -Theory. An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978, MR 58 #7605, Zbl 0382.55002.
- [14] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *C^* -algebras of directed graphs and group actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **19** (1999), 1503–1519, MR 2000m:46125, Zbl 0949.46034.
- [15] A. Kumjian, D. Pask and I. Raeburn, *Cuntz-Krieger algebras of directed graphs*, Pacific J. Math., **184** (1998), 161–174, MR 99i:46049, Zbl 0917.46056.
- [16] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and J. Renault, *Graphs, groupoids, and Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **144** (1997), 505–541, MR 98g:46083.

- [17] E.Ch. Lance, *The compact quantum group $SO(3)_q$* , J. Operator Theory, **40** (1998), 295-307, MR 99h:46134, Zbl 1002.46043.
- [18] K. Matsumoto and J. Tomiyama, *Noncommutative lens spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **44** (1992), 13-41, MR 93g:46068, Zbl 0731.46038.
- [19] G. Nagy, *On the Haar measure of the quantum $SU(N)$ group*, Commun. Math. Phys., **153** (1993), 217-228, MR 94e:46124, Zbl 0779.17015.
- [20] P. Podleś, *Symmetries of quantum spaces. Subgroups and quotient spaces of quantum $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$ groups*, Commun. Math. Phys., **170** (1995), 1-20, MR 96j:58013, Zbl 0853.46074.
- [21] W. Pusz and S.L. Woronowicz, *Twisted second quantization*, Rep. Math. Phys., **27** (1989), 231-257, MR 93c:81082, Zbl 0707.47039.
- [22] I. Raeburn and W. Szymański, *Cuntz-Krieger algebras of infinite graphs and matrices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [23] I. Raeburn and D.P. Williams, *Morita Equivalence and Continuous-Trace C^* -Algebras*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, **60**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998, MR 2000c:46108, Zbl 0922.46050.
- [24] W. Szymański, *On semiprojectivity of C^* -algebras of directed graphs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **130** (2002), 1391-1399, MR 2003a:46083.
- [25] ———, *The range of K -invariants for C^* -algebras of infinite graphs*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **51** (2002), 239-249, MR 2003b:46077.
- [26] L.L. Vaksman and Y.S. Soibelman, *Algebra of functions on the quantum $SU(n+1)$ group and odd dimensional quantum spheres*, Algebra i Analiz, **2** (1990), 101-120, MR 92e:58021, Zbl 0751.46048.
- [27] S.L. Woronowicz, *Twisted $SU(2)$ group. An example of a non-commutative differential calculus*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **23** (1987), 117-181, MR 88h:46130, Zbl 0676.46050.

Received March 13, 2001 and revised November 4, 2002.

This work was supported by grant No. R04-2001-000-00117-0 from Korea Science & Engineering Foundation.

APPLIED MATHEMATICS
KOREA MARITIME UNIVERSITY
BUSAN 606-791, SOUTH KOREA
E-mail address: hongjh@hanara.kmaritime.ac.kr

MATHEMATICS
THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE
CALLAGHAN, NSW 2308
AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: wojciech@frey.newcastle.edu.au

This paper is available via <http://www.pacjmath.org/2003/211-2-3.html>.