解의 漸近擧動

金 章 郁

Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions

Kim, Chang-Wook

(Ⅰ)Abstract (Ⅱ)The theorem (Ⅱ)References

(I) Abstract

First of all, Under the assumption that a solution X(t) is bounded and approaches a closed set Ω , it will be shown that the positive limit set of X(t) is composed of solution of some system defined on Ω which is related to the unperturbed system.

We present some conditions which ensure that the solution $Y(\mathbf{x})$ of the ordinary differential equation

$$Y'(x) = A(x)Y(x)$$
, $Y(x_0) = I$, where $x_0 \le x < \infty$ and $A(x)$, $Y(x)$

are $n \times n$ complex matrix-valued functions with A(x) continuous, has a nonsingular limit as $x \to \infty$.

(I) The theorem

(A) For a system defined on a set D

$$X' = f(x), \quad x \in D \tag{1}$$

and for subset M of D, M is said to be a semi-invariant set of (1), if for each point of M there exists at least one solution of (1) which remains in M for all future time, consider a system

$$X' = f(t, x) + g(t, x)$$
(2)

Let Q be an open set in R^n and suppose that f(t,x), g(t,x) are continuous on $I \times Q$.

Moreover Suppose that if X(t) is continuous and bounded on (t_0, ∞) , that is, for some compact set

 $Q^* \subseteq Q$, $X(t) \epsilon Q^*$ for all $t_{\epsilon}(t_0, \infty)$, then we have

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} |g(s, X(s))| ds < \infty$$
(3)

1982年 4月 韓國海洋大學論文集 第17輯

Let $X(t,t_o,x_o)$ be a solution of (2) through (t_o,x_o) and let Γ^+ be a set in R^n such that

$$\Gamma^{+} = \bigcap_{to \leq s < \tau} \bigcup_{s \leq t} \times (t, to, xo)$$

where the interval (t_0, τ) is the maximal interval of the solution $X(t, t_0, x_0)$.

Then Γ^+ is a closed subset in Q, and if $X(t,t_0x_0)$ is bounded, Γ^+ is non-empty and compact.

Let Ω be a closed set in the space Q, suppose that a solution X(t) approaches Ω as $t \to \infty$. Then the positive limit set Γ^+ of X(t) is contained in Ω .

Now we shall make the following assumption for the system (2).

Let Ω be a nonempty closed set in the space Q and suppose that f(t,x) of (2) satisfies the following conditions:

i) f(t,x) tends to a function h(X) for $X \in \Omega$ as $t \to \infty$ and on any compact set in Ω this convergence is uniform.

ii) corresponding to each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $Y \in \Omega$, there exists a $\delta(\varepsilon, y) > 0$ and a $T(\varepsilon, y > 0)$ such that if $|x-y| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $t \le T(\varepsilon, y)$, We have

$$|f(t,x)-(t,y)|<\varepsilon$$

if f(t,x) satisfies condition (ii), for $y \in \Omega_1$, where Ω_1 , is a compact set in Ω , We can choose δ and T which are in dependent of y and depend only on Ω_1 .

Theorem 1

Suppose that a solution $X(t,t_o,x_o)$ of (2) is bounded and approaches a closed set Ω in the space Q.

if f(t,x) satisfies conditions i) and ii), then the positive limit set Γ^+ of $X(t,t_o,x_o)$ is a semi-invariant set contained in Ω of the equation.

$$X/h(x), X \in \Omega$$
 (4)

proof)

 $|X(t_k)-w| < \delta$ for sufficiently large k.

Therefore, there exists a solution $\phi_k(t)$ defined on $0 \le t \le \lambda$ of System.

 $X'=h^*(x)$, $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ through (0,w) such that for a given $\epsilon > 0$, $|X(t+t_k)-\phi_k(t)| < \epsilon$

for $t \in [0, \lambda]$, since $X(t) \to \Gamma^+$ as $t \to \infty$, if k is sufficiently large, $\phi_k(t) \in N(2\varepsilon, 1)$ for $t \in [0, \lambda]$, and also.

$$\phi_k(t) = w + \int_0^t h^*(\phi_k(s)) ds \text{ for } t \in [0, X].$$

Thus, for a sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ approaching zero as $K\to\infty$, there exist solution $\phi_k(t)$ of $X'=h^*$ (x), $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such That

$$\begin{cases} \phi_k(t) = w + \int_{s}^{t} h^*(\phi_k(s)) ds \\ \phi_k(t) \in N(\varepsilon_k, \Gamma^+) \end{cases}$$
 (5)

for $t \in [0, \lambda]$. Since $\{\phi_k(t)\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, it has a uniformly convergent subsequence. Let $\phi(t)$ be its limit function. Then, by (5),

$$\phi(t) = w + \int_{s}^{t} h^{*}(\phi(s)) ds, \quad \phi(t) \varepsilon \Gamma^{+} \text{ for } t \in [0, \lambda].$$

since $\Gamma^+ \subset \Omega_1$, $h^*(\phi(t)) = h(\phi)$), which implies that.

 $\phi(t) = w + \int_{0}^{t} h(\phi(s)) ds$ for $t \in [0, \lambda]$, that is, $\phi(t)$ is a solution of system (4) through (0, w)



and remain in Γ^+ . Since λ is arbitrary, We can find a solution of (4) defined on I which passes through (0, w) and remains in Γ^+ .

This proves that Γ^+ is a semi invariant set of (4).

(B) consider the initial value problem

$$Y'(x) = A(x)Y(x), \quad x_o \le x < \infty$$

$$Y(x_o) = I \tag{6}$$

Here A(x) and Y(x) are $n \times n$ complex matrix- x_0 valued function, and A(x) is assumed to be continuous. The purpose of the paper is to give some sufficient conditions for $\lim_{x\to\infty} Y(x)$ to exist and be invertible it is well knorwn[1] that one sufficient condition is

 $A(x) \in L^1 < 0, \infty$, ie, $\int_{x_0}^x ||A(x)|| dx < W$ herell || lis the norm (any of the equivalent ones) on the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices.

On the other hand, if A(x) is a commutative family, the solution to (6) is

$$Y(x) = \exp\left(\int_{x_0}^x A(s) \, \mathrm{d}s\right)$$

and so Y(x) has an invertible limit at ∞ provided the improper integral $\lim_{x\to\infty}\int_{x_0}^x A(s) ds = \int_{x_0}^x A(d) ds$ exists.

Theorem 2

Suppose A(x) = B(x) + C(x), where B(x), C(x) are continous, $C(x) \in L^1(x, \infty)$, $H(x) = \int_x^\infty B(x) dx$ exist as an improper Riemann integral, and $H(x) B(x) \in L^1(x_0, \infty)$. Then $\lim_{x \to \infty} Y(x)$ exist and is invertible.

proof of the theerem. Let Y(x) be the solution of (6), and let

$$Z(x) = (1 + H(x))Y(x)$$

Since the first factor has the limit I as $x\to\infty$, it suffices to show that Z(x) has an invertible limit at ∞ . Now for $a < x < \infty$ and a sufficiently large we have

$$Z(x) = (A(x) + H(x) A(x)) Y(x) - B(x) Y(x)$$

= $(C(x) + H(x)C(x) + H(x)B(x)) Y(x)$
= $R(x)Z(x)$, $R(x) \in L^{1}(a, \infty)$

This completes the proof of theorem.

Theorem 3

Suppose
$$A(x) = B_{\sigma}(x) + C_{\sigma}(x)$$
, $C_{\sigma}(x) \in L^{1}(x_{\sigma}, \infty)$

$$H_{1}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} B_{\sigma}(s) \, ds = B_{1}(x) + C_{1}(x),$$

$$C_{1}(x) A(x) \in L^{1}(x_{\sigma}, \infty)$$

$$H_{2}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} B_{1}(s) A(s) \, ds = B_{2}(x) + C_{2}(x)$$

$$\vdots \qquad C_{2}(x) A(x) \in L^{1}(x_{\sigma}, \infty)$$

$$H_{n-1}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} B_{n-2}(s) A(s) \, ds = B_{n-1}(x) + C_{n-1}(x)$$

$$C_{n-1}(x) A(x) \in L^{1}(x_{\sigma}, \infty)$$

$$H_{n}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} B_{n-1}(s) A(s) \, ds$$

$$H_{n-1}(x) A(x) \in L^{1}(t_{\sigma}, \infty)$$

Then $\lim x \to \infty Y(x)$ exists and is invertible (all matrix-valued functions are assumed continous, and \int_x^{∞} integrals are improper Riemann integrals)



4 1982年 4月 韓國海洋大學論文集 第17輯

proof) The proof is analogous to that of theorem 2. Let Y(x) be the solution of (6) and

$$Z(x) = (I + H_1(x) + \cdots + H_n(x)) Y(x)$$

The first factor has limit I at ∞ A_o so We need only show that Z(x) has an invertible limit at ∞ . For $a < x < \infty$ and a sufficiently large We have

$$Z'(x) = (A(x) + H_1(x)A(x) + \dots + H_n(x)A(x)) Y(x)$$

$$+ (-B_o(x) - B_1(x)A(x) - \dots - B_{n-1}(x)A(x)) Y(x)$$

$$= (C_o(x) - C_1(x)A(x) + \dots - C_{n-1}(x)A(x)$$

$$+ H_n(x)A(x)Y(x)$$

$$= R(x)Z(x), R(x) \varepsilon L^1(a, \infty)$$

Which proves theorem 3.

I. References

- [1] E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, "Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations," Mc Graw-Hill, New Yok, 1955,
- [2] A. Halanay, Differential Equation stability, Oscillation, Time Lags, Academic press, New York,
- [3] J. K. Hale, Ordinary Differential Equations, Wiley-interscience, New York 1969.

